COURIER-JOURNAL

`s

Editorials Wondering

The guessing game is on regarding our next pope. Will it be a non-Italian? Will it be a young man? An old man? London odds favor Cardinal Sergio Pignedoli, 5-2. Or should that be V-II?

It is probably all a bit ridiculous because moods and sentiments among the cardinals are difficult to perceive, and might be expected to change after they gather in secret conclave.

Probably at this time the only one who knows the next pope is the Holy Spirit who will have a definite voice in the proceedings.

Some incidentals about the selection are worth pondering. For instance, the Church of late has been broadening its scope to appeal to all races. But isn't it another form of prejudice, ageism, to forbid cardinals over 80 from voting for the new pope?

And one cannot help but notice the absence of women in all the proceedings. Between now and the next such conclave there is a great deal of work to be done if the Church is to continue to fulfill its role of universality in depth as well as breadth.

Wednesday, August 23, 1978

We are not chauvinist enough to wish for an American to be named. Still we wonder why our cardinals are so peremptorily ruled out. The reason bandied about is that it would be political suicide for the Vatican to choose someone from a world power. Doesn't that preclude the possible best man for the job?

And the whole aura of secrecy in the election is not edifying for the average Catholic. In short Americans object to smoke-filled caucus room selection if its leaders. Shouldn't Catholics feel the same way about election of their popes?

No Choice

Without any ill feeling, we think that many spokesmen within the Catholic Church, including a couple of priests for whom we have considerable respect, are missing the point regarding the Nazi issue that has reared prominently in recent months.

One priest-editor, for instance, feels that the media were duped by the Nazis into giving the planned Skokie demonstration far more notoriety than the handful of Nazi perpetrators deserved. The implication is that we who got editorially excited did more to advance the Nazi cause than if we had merely kept our typewriters silent.

There is some truth in that observation. We realized it at the time we used Courier-Journal space to alert our readers early to the Nazi activity in Illinois - much as we did earlier when a Nazi did reasonably well in a mayoral primary in Milwaukee and with happenings of this monstrous group near our nation's capital.

The fact that the Nazis in the United States are not so numerous is beside the point. At one time, so were their forbears in Germany who were ignored or laughed at for too long. The people who looked the other way have been convicted by history. It is too late for them to admit that they should have done more. said more, written more. With that lesson as guide, we in the Year of Our Lord 1978 would be doubly condemned if we were to be apathetic about Nazis.

Setting aside the fact that good journalism dictates that newspapers and other media must keep the public alert to such events, we must also point out that it is a far, far better thing to risk giving Nazi vermin too much sunlight than to let them secretly undermine the foundations of America.

Risk being gullible? A thousand times over. And if we could change one historical line of those hellish times some four decades ago then we would take the risk a million times a million.

and Opinions

Pope Was A Saint Editor:

I believe in the Papal Supremacy. I believe in the infallibility of the Pope when he is defining Faith or Morals. I believe that the Cardinals are divinely inspired when they choose the Pope. It is also my belief that if the bishops, priests and laity throughout the world believed this also there would be no dissension and no division. They would accept the teachings of the Pope as they would accept the teachings of Jesus Christ whose mouthpiece he really is.

Pope Paul suffered through his own Gethsemane and emerged triumphant. Truly he was a saint in our time.

Hilda Smythe (Mrs.) 378 Hampton Blvd. Rochester, N.Y. 14612

administrative practices of Rev. Charles Mulligan of the Office of Social Ministries to gather information in order to determine if resignation is called for.

Michael Krupiarz **Office of Human** Development **Program Associate** 750 W. Main St. Rochester, N.Y.

Modernists Questioned

Editor:

As I read the endless writings of the liberal theologians (Modernists) in the diocese, I cannot help but wonder what new heroic goal is on their agenda now that they have passed the absurd. These brave new thinkers will stop at nothing whatever, so long as their spurious arguments are peddled; often by a worldly press

414年1月1日

The uncanny force that compels them must be relentless. "No rest for the weary" goes the old saying. And they are wearisome beyond words.

The latest peddling was in the D&C of Aug. 7. Father Henry Atwell wrote a perfect thesis on what to believe if one wanted to be certain that he would never fall into Catholicism. It is truly the perfect guide on "How Not to Become a Catholic." I am sure that Father Atwell must have had some good intention in mind when he wrote the article but alas it must remain a mystery to all but Father Atwell.

Perhaps the reason for this hasty, ill-timed article berating Pope Paul and Humanae Vitae, not to mention a slew of other doctrinal matters, was that the consensus of these modern thinkers awoke them to the fact that the golden moment was not so golden, and perhaps outdistanced them. "Haste makes waste,' goes another old saying.

of place. Perhaps not, for as Chesterton once observed, "Oddities only strike ordinary people. Oddities do not strike odd people." At any rate, St. Pius X predicted that modernism would surface again. It certainly has. But it is still hard to believe how anyone could be stupid enough to swallow

> **Richard V. Hussar** 340 Jordan Ave. Rochester, N.Y. 14606

Fr. Mulligan Defended

Editor:

it.

letter is being This written as a result of the media focus these past few weeks on the firing of the former director of the Office of Human Development.

The first is the im-plication that OHD has only become interested in the public power issue within the past year and a half. This is demon-

then OHD director, two people, one a staff member who was at that time the Campaign for Human Development director and the other a volunteer who was a mature and singluarly competent student of mine, worked together at some length to familiarize themselves with the issue of public power. They had several meetings with the Genesee Valley People's Power Coalition and always expressed enormous enthusiasm for the work this group was doing. Concurrently, some members of the Regional OHD staff (those working in the non-metro regions of the diocese) were becoming increasingly involved in this issue in their particular areas. This is not to imply that work done by the OHD staff in the past year or so may not have gone beyond that early stage. It does, however, imply that some people currently involved with OHD either never knew or have forgotten their history.

Second, the implication that our diocese, in general, or Father Mulligan, in particular,

Native American ancestry and or lay. I have been associated with Father Mulligan in a variety of capacities for about four years now. Under his direction I served as coordinator of Project Cope, a diocesan study of unemployment funded by Catholic Charities and carried out by OHD; one of the chief organizers and first president of the diocesan-wide CHD Board of Directors (a position which I filled for $2\frac{1}{2}$ terms); and for the past year and a half I've been an extremely active member of the Catholic Charities Planning Committee.

Due to the nature of the work, we've had our share of "knockdown, dragout battles." I've won some and lost some. However, I can honestly say there has never once been even the slightest suggestion of him "pulling rank" in the all too frequent unpleasant layclerical tension. In fact, I've often thought he was one the few clerics I've met who takes Peter's "You are a royal priesthood"

seriously.

Probe Urged

