COURIER-JOURNAL

Wednesday, February 8, 1978

Tax Credit Seenas Freedom Measure

The traditional right of American parents to guide their children's education would be bolstered by passage of the Tuition Tax Credit Act, the Senate Finance Committee was told at recent hearings in: Washington.

The point was stressed by Emile Comar, executive editor of the Clarion-Herald, New Orleans archdiocesan newsweekly, and by Harold J.T. l'senberg, president of the Federation 📽 Catholic Teachers, a union in the Archdiocese of New-York.

Comar, who is also executive director of the Louisiana Catholic Conference and a state vice president of the Citizens for Educational Freedom, spoke for the CEF Isenberg represented not only the FTC, but also teachers' organizations in the Brooklyn and Rockville Centre dioceses and in Philadelphia

Religious News Service reported on the hearings, which were called by Sen. Russell Long (D-La) to consider the bill (S2142) sponsored by Sen. Robert W Packwood (R-Ore.) and Sen Daniel P. Moynihan, (D-NY) The proposed legislation would give tax credits to all who pay tuition tees for education at any level. Credits could be applied to as much as 50. percent of tuition costs, but could not exceed \$500 perstudent

Administration spokesmen at the hearings made clear President Cartér's opposition to any tax relief. approach, RNS reported, and their testimony drew bitter comment from state and national advocates of

Catholic schools. The ad- schools. "But we are ministration is looking for alternative relief measures."

There are other bills designed to help parents who pay tuition — nine have been filed in the House of Representatives — but¹ the Packwood-Moynihan bill is the one that should be passed, Comar told the Senate committee.

"The bill now before you," he said, "provides across the board incentives, or relief, to taxpayers in that it assists parents with educational costs whether their children attend public or non-public elementary school, high school, trade school, college or university.

"We strongly support this legislation, feeling that any bill which would provide tax credits at the college and university level alone would be highly discriminatory.

It is imperative, he said, that "freedom" be maintained in education.

He spoke also of the increase in taxes for public education that accompanies the decline of non-public school enrollment.

At another point he said, "We recognize that government provides incentives to business and industry to keep the free enterprise system moving. I submit that it is time for the Congress also to provide incentives to the individuals. who keep dual and competitive systems of education operating in this free nation "

Isenberg said that Catholic teachers' groups did not dispute the importance or worth of public

abundantly clear that homosexuality is objectively wrong and therefore at least in the abstract is a sinful act.

Now when you get to dealing with homosexuals as opposed to the abstraction of homosexuality, you have an entirely new ballgame. There is not one of us on earth who is capable, in the tirst place, of making a judgment on the moral culpability of another unalterably opposed to an educational monopoly over our children." he asserted. "We cannot have freedom of choice if the only viable educational system open to parents is the public schools.

Education is a long-term process, Isenberg observed, adding that "alternatives of choice must be available to all at each step in the educational process."

With "the religious dimension of human experience" excluded from the public school program, it is taught "implicitly" that this dimension is of no great importance, Isenberg said. He noted that the bill limits tax credits to half of the tuition paid and that this might be taken to apply to the secular part of the nonpublic school's curriculum.

The two school systems have engaged in "fruitful competition," Isenberg said, to the benefit of both.

The Packwood-Moynihan bill, the teacher said, has three "advantages:" . it proposes aid to those who. directly bear the tuition burden; it is simple and inexpensive to administer; its cost would not be prohibitive.

The Carter Administration, however, opposes the tax credit plan as too expensive and inefficient. The ad-ministration's alternative reportedly would be to liberalize eligibility rules so that Federal grants and aid programs for the poor could benefit also the middle-class student. A report from the Congressional Budget Office states that this alternative would be more 'efficient' in reaching. middle-income tamilies. Tax credits would benefit many upper-income families, Federal experts tigure.

Father Patrick Farrell, the U.S. Catholic Conference representative for Catholic schools, said the administration's present position amounted to a repudiation by President Carter of one of his own campaign pledges. Pointing out that the President had. declared his intention to

commitment had been made "in recognition of the long history and out-standing contributions which these schools have made to our pluralistica society, of the tremendous burden they lift from the public schools, and in recognition of the enormous financial sacrifices made by parents who support them.

He added that non-public schools "make it possible in many instances for disadvantaged youngsters of all races and creeds to receive a quality education which would not otherwise be available to them.

persons to take a look at our funding involves "a record of service to the poor violation of civil, and not and the disadvantaged, and we urge the Administration to remember the promises which have been made," the priest said in a statement summarized by RNS.

A further charge that the President "fails to keep campaign pledges" came in a statement distributed by the Catholic School Administrators Association of New York State. The statement guoted Brother Bernard G. Flood, FMS, executive director to the

We invite all fair-minded effect that education just religious, liberties." 🚿

Page 20

"Poor and middle-class parents have no choice but to send their children to public schools, thereby exposing them to values and educational methods which may be at variance with family belief, thus threatening First Amendment rights," the ad-ministrators statement continued. "An educational system which offers no value options to the parents is necessarily suspect on civil rights grounds."





LOUIS MONMAN

Dear Father Hohman,

What is the stand of the Church t o d a_'y 0 **n** homosexuality?

Signed, O.R.

Dear O.R.,

The presumption is that you ask this question because of articles you have read in magazines relative to questioning our approach homosexuality and to homosexuals. It would seem to me that there is a radical difference in the way we approach homosexuals and the way we approach homosexuality. The latter is ane abstraction and it would seem fairly obvious that at least as far as the externals of the activity are concerned it is not natural. Of course, there are those who would immediately argue that its unnaturalness depends on what you regard as the purpose of sex and sexuality. If sex is not oriented exclusively toward the propagation of the race, as reputable theologians today suggest, then maybe there is a place for immosexuality in the scheme of things As far as scheme of things: As far as repelled by the concept is intensive training program any official teaching of the one thing to be repelled by and are certified to teach the person is untenable. When the person is untenable.

民国本法

human being, no matter how patently the objective act seems to be in violence of standards. Who knows, tor example, why a homosexual is one in the tirst place? What components are involved in this phenomenon? To what degree are we dealing with environmental, psychological, physiological or emotional factors? It is my personal opinion that just about no one really knows all the factors involved in this particular phenomenon and much more study will be required before we do

know.

In the meantime, can we legitimately refuse these people entrance into our community? Or is the objective activity they are engaged in enough to put them outside the pale? Or must we simply try to make the distinction in each particular case? And given that situation who is to be the judge?

As far as I am concerned there is the need for a great deal of further study, as to what is involved here. But there must also be a kind of real charity toward those who are involved. To be

find constitutional methods of helping the parents of parochial school children, Father Farrell said that this

Information **Session Set** By NFP

Natural Family Planning of Rochester has scheduled an information session for 7:30 p.m., Thursday, Feb. 16 at St. Columba's in Caledonia.

Doug and Nancy Osborn, certified and trained natural family planning instructors, will conduct the session which will be open to the public, free of charge. Registration is not necessary.

NFP instructors teach as a couple. They all have used the method for at least one year prior to instructor training and have suc-cessfully completed an