

An unidentified battle weary GI in Vietnam has a Rosary made of rope tucked into his helmet strap.

Rather than Rile the Waters

I had quite a bit of free time this past Saturday to do some thinking about recent editorials which stirred up a hornet's nest of complaints. Rather than rile the waters any more I decided it would be better for the moment just to quote from some books to tide us over this time of transition.

"The Catholic Church, after John XXIII, can never be the same again. A new era of church history started with him, an era of new life, of new freedom, of new hope. His last wish on his death-bed was that the Council might continue and bring forth fruit abundantly. Of course there will be fresh opposition and fresh difficulties in the way of his programme. But the Catholic Church will continue along the road that John XXIII has opened up. His programme — the renewal of the Church and reunion with separated Christians - has not only a pope, but the Lord of the Church Himself

Father Hans Kueng in The Changing Church.

"The reality of the world in which we live and which we call our own is broad and deep like the sea; it cannot be divided up into impersonal formulas and laws. Of course, these are indispensable, just as a sailor cannot navigate without getting his orientation from the sun and stars, but how well he sails depends on that particular sea and the situation on board. This image fits our lives very well, for each day we encounter situations in which we have to arrive at a personal point of view, at our own decision in conscience.'

-Bishop Wilhelmus Bekkers in God's People on the March.

"Waters passing through a valley soon make little channels which, in turn, become deep river beds. The same happens to the stream of thought: it eventually gets into a rut. Philosophy and theology for example, after filtering through one text after another for centuries, harden and congeal into patterns. Then phrases and formulas take the place of thinking. Theology has passed through four periods in the course of Christian history, during which time it became frozen. . . In this new era, if theology and philosophy are to take on

new life, they will have to pass, like sunlight, through different prisms. One of these prisms will be enlightened theologians and philosophers, but the two new prisms will be the missionaries who will interpret theology in terms of the religious aspirations of the world and the laity who will develop its unchanging principles out of the problems of the modern world.'

-Bishop Fulton J. Sheen in the preface to Jean Guitton's book Feminine Fulfillment.

"When you grow to be a big boy you are tempted about the Church. Shall you measure the temptation? Is is bigger than you think? Is it only imagination? Certainly I find in myself not the slightest inclination to 'be' anything but 'Catholic.' Any further questions of other institutions, other organizations, appears to me to be totally lucticrous. I believe in the Church. I am in the place where Christ has put me. Amen. On the other hand, I wish I had more charity. I wish I were less resentful of dead immobilism — the ponderous, inert, inhuman pressure of power bearing down on everyone to keep every beak from opening and every wing from moving. Authority sitting in its office, with all the windows opera, trying to hold down, with both hands, all the important papers and briefs, all the bits of red tape, all the documents on all the members of the Body of Christ. I wish I could stop hoping the whole mess would blow away.'

Thomas Merton in Conjectures of a Guilty Bystander.

"Every man is a potential adversary, even those whom we love. Only through dialogue are we saved from this enmity toward one another. Dialogue is to love, what blood is to the body. When the flow of blood stops, the body dies. When dialogue stops, love dies and resentment and hate are born. But dialogue can restore a dead relationship. Indeed this is the miracles. of dialogue: it can bring relationship into being, and it can bring into being once again a relationship that has died. There is only one qualification to these claims for dialogue: it must be mutual and proceed from both sides, and the parties to it must persist relentlessly."

-Reuel L. Howe in The Miracle of Dialogue.

Standing naked Where they have placed me, Nailed to the target By their first arrows.

Again a bow is drawn, Again an arrow flies, — and misses. Are they pretending? Did a hand shake, Or was it the wind?

What have I to fear? If their arrows hit. If their arrows kill, What is there in that To cry about?

Others have gone before, Others will follow.

-Dag Hammarskjold in Markings.

These various excerpts seemed to express my own thoughts. I thought they might prove interesting to you too.

-Pather Henry A. Atwell

Priests, Laity Comment on Courier Editorials

Your recent editorials have been very disturbing. My first comments concern your editorial titled, "Can a Catholic" Be a Christian?", in the Dec. 30, 1966 issue of the Catholic Courier-Journal.

You say that Father Charles Davis' "action in leaving the Church is somewhat comparable - in reverse - of Cardinal John Henry Newman's becoming a Catholic just about a century ago." Ah, now, I thought Father Atwell will describe the sterling qualities of the saintly scholar Newman as opposed to the recalcitrant, disloyal, vow-breaking Father Davis. The Editor of our Catholic Diocesan Newspaper will bring out clearly Father Davis' errors of judgment and of action, as not too long ago he castigated another priest (labeled "Conservative") n-contrast to our beloved, faithful Monsignor Thomas Connors, But, no. You only added more pitch to the smoke of confusion and doubt by making Father Davis' insulting question your own: "Can a Catholic Be a Christian?'

And sympathetically you carry his question further to make it appear from the Catholic bishops' statement "that belief in God is the chief the ological question of our time" that the Catholic Church herself is in doubt about the tradiPope Paul in 1964 encyclical Ecclesiam Suam encouraged Catholics to discuss their various viewpoints in what has become widely known as 'dialogue.' In this way, he said, 'one discovers how different are the ways that lead to the light of faith.' He said it's good to force 'our reasoning process out of the worn paths' and suggested that this open discussion of topics include 'new fervor, new themes and new speakers.' These articles and letters printed in this issue of the Courier are intended as a way of getting a dialogue started in this paper.

tional God and that her traditional concepts and definitions about God and religion are outmoded and irrelevant to our modern age.

Though you probably do not intend it, your final paragraph is suggestive, to say the least that this is but the beginning of a large-scale defection of our Catholic clergy. (And you know the great power of suggestion, especially on our young priests.) You continue: "We must at last get down to some possible solutions for a new generation." As though the Catholic Church had not given solutions in Vatican II and in. her constant traditional teaching_so_beautifully_and_clearly_ expressed by our present Holy Father.

Why did you not bring out

the parallel between Father Charles Davis and Father Martin Luther? Both ordained Catholic priests; both "experts" and teachers in a Catholic university; both highly gifted by God; both disavowing their celibacy to marry; and both, withal, marshalling his own private judgment against the Catholic Church as an institution divinely founded by Christ and divinely guided by the Holy

Father Davis says: "I have come to see that the Church (Catholic) as it exists and works at present is an obstacle." "It is not the source of values his friends cherish and promote," "Truth and concern for people are not represented by the official (Catholic) Church."

Ghost till the end of time. .

"The Catholic Church is break-

ing up and some other form of Christian presence in the world is under formation." Father Martin Luther spoke and wrote in a similar way. However, if my memory serves me correctly, Luther did not have in mind at first the formation of a new church - that came later somewhat by force of circumstances which used Luther politically. Father Davis hails his new Christian church in the very beginning of his defection.. Would it not be appropriate to call it Neo-Protestantism?

Father Davis' basic error, as it was the basic error of Luther and of all the heresiarchs, was denying and leaving Holy Mother Church, the ground and pillar of truth, and casting out on the waves alone. "And it was night outside." Pray God,

may the same kindly light that led Cardinal Newman to Rome and the solid rock of Peter lead Father Davis back to his holy

This editorial, coupled with your editorial of January 6, "Too Many Labels" and the general tenor of the Courier-Journal for some time now makes it necessary for me as Pastor of souls in St. Anthony's Parish, Groton, to request that you cancel all our parishioners' subscriptions to the Courier-Journal. I have come to this decision only after much thought and prayer. I feel responsible for these souls in my parish and am convinced that the Courier-Journal tends more and more to weaken and undermine the faith of our good

God knows we expect the world and secular papers to cast doubt and ridicule on the Catholic Church, her doctrines and practices, but our people are not quite prepared to receive that treatment by one of their own loyal sons.

However, will you please continue sending my own personal copy of the Courier-Journal and bill me for same? I feel that I should still keep posted on the "aberrations" and warn my parishioners about them as dangers to their faith and morals.

> — Rev. Joseph McNamara. Groton

As a priest of seven 'years who considers himself a good friend of Father Paul Cuddy of Clyde (on his own recent admission to that effect), I have been very interested in the recent dialogue between Father Cuddy and Father Atwell, editor of the Courier.

I haven't always agreed with my friend, Father Paul, as he well knows, and yet I admire his courage to speak out his mind, because I know that this courage, is fired by his great love for the church of Our Lord. Perhaps it is some of this courage which he has imparted to me that prompts me to write this open letter to him. For this I am indebted to

However, I think that what the tray is the fairly ou fact that my good friend has clearly missed the point on several issues, and I think that this will do more harm than anything that Father Atwell has ever said or written.

First of all, it seems to me that Father Cuddy is not aware that some of the new things which have happened in Catholic-Christian scholarship during the past several years are good things, and his attempts to thwart Father Atwell's editorial policy would seem to extend this un-awareness to some or to amplify it in others.

Father Atwell's editorials seem to be one of the few local opportunities many of our people have for an honest look-see onto the ever widening horizon of theological thought and discussion. How much better to have someone thinking out loud to help us to think at all than just to have the bare, cold facts of theological developments as presented in news articles. Especially when these news articles deal with, admittedly, very disturbing things. Certainly we don't want a controlled news-policy-in our Courier. Or

Father Cuddy's "enraged professional woman" who cancelled her subscription to the Courier on the basis of Father Atwell's editorial, "Can a Catholc Be a Christian," certainly must need some Catholic professionalism in the intellectual sphere, and some awareness of what is going on in the world, if she isn't yet equipped to handle such a piece. And how are any of our people, increasingly better educated and truly professional, going to be equipped if we do not inform them of exactly the kind of thinking that is going on, and let them know that this is the way that faith matures and stays alive in us and for the sake of others?

Father Cuddy, it seems to me, is thinking always in the cut and dried categories of cold, scholastic thought, most of us priests who are a bit younger than he received that same kind of training, and we are grateful for the ancient truths of our faith that have been handed down to us for the sake of ourselves and others. But, the majority of us are

also aware, thanks to just such an editorial policy as Father Atwell's, which drew us to the periodicals in the Mission Library of St. Bernard's Seminary back in the 50's and early 60's, that much has happened in Catholic scholarship to break open the nuggets of ancient truth, to .let them be exposed to the lights of other sciences, and thus to come to life so that they can vivify and nourish the people for whom they are in-

The influence of personalist. existential thought, of empirical psychology, of anthropology and archaeology, of special language study, of socio-economic study, of religious psychology, of pastoral theology has changed things, and the sooner we admit this and make the effort to re-learn our theology with these new, valid lights the more we are going to be able to guide our people who are products of this modern age and not of some medieval fairy-land. Throw out St. Thomas Aquinas? Heck, no! But don't keep him locked up in an air tight chamber either!

Let's get busy and do some reading, and discussing, and let's make the effort our people deserve in justice to keep up with what is going on. Let's stop fighting with each other and work for our own clarification of thought, and then that of the people.

Secondly, Father Atwell and other alert observers and writers, conscious of the spirit of the fimes, are certainly not calling into question the fact that the "Catholic Church has produced wonderful saints, known and unknown." I think that the "foolish" thing is for Father Cuddy to suggest that Father Atwell is doing this when he speaks of the authenticity of Catholic Christianity. If there is anything preventing anyone of us from being modern-day, authentic Christians, modern-day saints, known or unknown, we should work to remove it from our lives. And if we are honest, there does seem to be plenty within the very community of the church which should be removed because it is an obstacle to authentic Christianity.

The burden of this very work apparently became too great for Father Charles Davis.

You-say, Father Cuddy, that Father Davis' defection is hardly reason for the rest of us to question what we see, and hear, and experience and know." This seems to me to be a contradiction of what the Pope said when he urged us to be aware of the "signs of the times." It is just this official suspicion of any kind of honest seeking after the truth, magnified a thousand times over in all the secure, settled

pastorates and chanceries of the Catholic world, which perhaps weighed most heavily upon our brother priest's shoulders and pressed him into the extreme step which he took.

Don't you feel some of the shared guilt as a member of Christ's wounded body, the Church? I do, and I am ashamed at my part in it as much as I am ashamed and sorry for my own failings which have wounded the Church, and I feel the need not of censuring Father Bavis, but of reparation, and prayer for a wounded member of the Church.

The second point in your leter was a dead give away. I think your own term, "utter nonsen's e," is descriptive enough for your own obvious misunderstanding. Father Atwell certainly did not say in his editorial that our bishops' faith is weak. He was praising our bishops in Council for being perceptive enough to sense what is the most critical religious issue of our modern age: the sense of the loss of God expressed meaningfully and with serious concern by men of stature and great influence w h o m you call "odd theologians." as the "death of God.' Must then we refer to Paul VI himself, our bishops in Council, a great theologian such as Karl Rahner, a great religious psychologist such as Father Babin as "odd theologians" because they all have written or spoken with much concern about this crisis of faith?

And what are we doing to meet this challenge that demands answers and approaches as modern as its own inner anguish? May I refer you to the current issue (Jan. 6) of "Time" magazine and the "Man of the Year: 25 and under" article and the current issue (Jan. 14) of "America" and the articles, "Today's Layman: An Uncertain Catholic," and "A Renewed Priesthood" as very articulate expressions of this very situation (at least in the COURIER-JOURNAL Friday, Jan. 20, 1967

world beyond Clyde!)? Sorry about that:

Finally, I question your understanding of what Father Atwell meant by "handy nostrums." Apparently you think he means "charity, penance, sacraments, papal social doctrines, legitimate authority." What makes you think this? This kind of imputation causes all sorts of un-productive wonderings in the minds of people.

I hope that Father Atwell continues his kind of thinkingout-loud. It is the kind of thinking that doesn't just sit there, but does something. It is the kind of thinking that, as Newman says, allows "elbowroom for the mind." It is the kind of thinking that can rock, and perhaps save, a few pastors' boats which are deteriorating with dry-rot. It is the kind of thinking which can help all of us in the church, laymen and laywomen, priests, seminarians, sisters, and brothers to search realistically together with Him who is the Way, and the Truth, and the Life for the reason of the faith that is in us, lest we force into a squeeze more thinking men who just cannot bear the unbearable psychological burden of going it alone.

I accept, Father Cuddy, my friend and brother priest, your characteristically kind invitation to join with you "who love the historic Catholic Church, and to work in truth and charity for its perfecting. She is a Church in pilgrimage, and needs the good heart and loyalty of every pilgrim, that God may be glorified and the people of the world fed and-loved and healed and sanctified through Jesus Christ, Our Lord, living in His Church." and I'm sure that Father Atwell does too. When shall we begin?

- Father John Gormley,

May I say how much I enjoy the post-conciliar Courier? It fairly gives off sparks, and I should not like to miss a copy.

I realize that statement or discussion of unusual events or ideas does not mean official approval of them by Church authorities. New life is pulsing through the practice of our religion, and this free expression is a symptom of it.

One could wish for less coverage of weddings, funerals, sports, and the like. They are well reported by the secular press. Perhaps, though, they are a "carrot for the donkey" to induce people to read other

As for Father Davis, I griev.... ed to hear about him, because I have read some of his scholarly articles in "America."

Please continue to carry on in the glorious tradition of a free press, and let the chips fall where they may!

- Miss Edna M. Engelhardt,

Six years ago when we changed parishes we no longer re ceived the Courier. We didn't request it to be delivered because frankly the sight of it in the mailbox was depressing.

A few months ago I requested that the Courier be sent to us again - I guess mainly to see what the new Bishop would be up to.

I see now that we've been missing something exceptional. Your editorials have changed the image of the Courier from a big yawn to an exciting, intelligent Catholic newspaper.

How wonderful to read your compassionate statement concerning the English theologian who left the Church! How different from the Courier of ten years ago!

The Rochester Diocese can be proud of its paper and, oh, I hope the windows don't close again now that the "winds of change" have blown through the editorial rooms of the Courier.

You know, there are many who share my point of view. We don't often express ourselves. Sometimes a discontented min-

ority can sound like a multitude. More often anger will drive someone to grab a pen and scream. I'm sure you get many such letters but I assure you that I write for many who are pleased with what they read in the Courier.

-Mrs. Donald L. Walker, Corning



"I believe in on God the Father Almighty, maker of heaven and earth, and all things visible and invisble," from the Creed at Mass. Hebrew letters represent El Shaddai - the Almighty.'

Editor:

This is just a very short note to tell you "good work" with your paper - the Courier. We get about 6 different Catholic papers since our novices come from different dioceses. Thus we are kept up on events, as well as the NCWC releases. And you are on the right track. Now you don't need my saying this as if it were necessary but critics can ride hard and a blast from one area can divert our attention.

Father Davis' comments and statements are generally receiving benign comments from the editors - "the best" is from the Hartford Catholic newspaper, a re-run of that editorial might prove helpful to show critics that the Spirit is leading you, as He is! More eyes are being opened and therefore more aware of the need for truth and truth can come from editors. So God bless your New Year.

The real reason for writing is simply to say stay on with your paper for a while longer. Now is not the time for more priestly activity. Your service to all of us is a ministry of the word and it helps each of us to become more completely aword of God to each one we

No doubt some of this cur-

rent may be "getting to you" and may be causing you much pain. It seems all of us today somehow are all caught up in that mystery of His suffering. A lay theologian recently said their church now is entering into Her Dark Night of the Soul and we are this Church and we are all touched by it and brought into it. Of course I could be seeing this all wrong - from a distance - but I think there is suffering involved in your work and only want to say it's redemptive. So, a thought for the year: "In suffering is concealed with extreme intensity the world's power of ascension. The whole problem is to liberate it by making it conscious of what it means and of what it can achieve" -- Chardin. Forgive the sermonizing.

> —Father: Timothy McGough, Carmelite Monastery, Waverly

Vol. 78 No. 16

Friday, January 20, 1967

MOST REV. FULTON J. SHEEN, Ph.D., D.D. President MAIN OFFICE 35.Scio St. - 454-7050 - Rochester, N.Y. 14604 ELMIRA OFFICE 317 Robinson Bldg., Lake St. RE 2-5688 or RE 2-3423



· /:

Second class postage paid at Rochester, N.Y. Single copy 15¢; 1 year subscription in U.S., \$5.00 As required under the Act of Congress of March 3, 1879. Canada \$5:50; Foreign Countries \$6:75

Members of Aqui scene for their "! titled "Double Cro



That's the number of he average teenager has s fore a television set time he graduates fro school. 15,000 equals o and nine months of twee hour-a-day televiewing count for the number length films he has a reaches at least 500.

By the same stage career, the teenager has 10,800 hours in a form room. Thus, television as an easy second to

but sleeping. The statistics are fro John M. Culkin, Jesuit of Fordham Universit ter for Communication er Culkin has inaugur unprecedented concern age film study on the educators. Fordham's Institute in the summ€r awakened other educat stitutions to the need study, and stimulated application to the high classroom of those com tion arts already being in other leading unive

When teenagers ar the opportunity to watch depth movie" without cials, interesting things They are quiet. They ly absorbed. The sequ flickering images and s fects encompasses the when the lights go on cinematic experience ap

be over, it isn't. The young audience about the film to eac almost unconsciously for theme. Gone with when film was a "movi show" are the days of tration on plot alone. young viewers are neither to technique no dominant message of a

Day of R

When, as a high-school

For Pare Geneva — A s planned for parents

first of its kind in th Immaculate Heart Fra Third Order of St. Fra sponsoring this spiritua ity day on Sunday, Fet the Capuchin Seminary parents of retarded chil the greater Geneva, Fals. Canandaigua, an ark areas. All parents vited to participate re-of their religious affilia

The program will get way in the early afterno ing with dinner in the Miss Margaret Buckley ently working out det the activity and is bein Tom Kenny. Father \$ Falcone, O.F.M. Cap., rect the program wh