People of God

Sister Maria Teresa Quevedo of Madrid, was a typical modern girl when she decided to join the Carmelites of Charity in 1947. The young nun was only 20-years-old when she died in the convent after a long illness marked by severe suffering. She retained, however, an heroic spirit of amiability which she attributed to her spiritual kinship with the Blessed Virgin Mary. The story of her life was recorded by Sister Mary Pierre, R.S.M., in "Mary Was Her Life", published by Benziger Brothers. Her cause for Beatification has been introduced to the Sacred Congregation of Rites.

New Moral Issues In Complex Cities

The complexity of city life was the topic for several speakers this week.

Bishop Kearney, in his talk to jurists and law enforcement officers at the annual Red Mass at St. Joseph's Church, said one of the questions that has no easy answer is: "How far may mercy go, how far must justice come?". He recommended "a course of restraint and moderation" in dealing with those who are convinced their rights are violated but who act contrary to the law.

The Bishop stressed, however, the need for respect for law and order which he described as "one of the sanctified traditions of our country." To disregard lawful authority, he said, "leads straight to anarchy."

It is in the critical balance between freedom and fear, between justice and mercy - "the Scylla and Charybdis" of the legal profession — that God's help is so urgently needed, the Bishop stated.

Similar difficult moral questions confront other citizens also, said Monsignor George G. Higgins in a talk to the Conference on Christian Approaches to Defense and Disarmament of the Council on Religion and International Affairs, at Warrenton, Virgina, this week. He enumerated some of these problems, both theoretical and practical:

- · "What should the Church teach about modern revolutionary change?
- "How should the Church as an institution act in revolutionary situations?"
- "What should be the attitude of Christians toward the deep-rooted — often violent — changes taking place around them?'

Such questions, Monsignor Higgins said, pose a dilemma for the Church. He declared: "The Church cannot cast its lot unconditionally with revolutionary forces which threaten the institutions and values for which the Church exists, nor can the Church, on the other hand, adamantly oppose revolutionary change."

Difficult and frustrating problems of revolutionary change cannot be avoided, he continued.

'Millions of Christians are involved on many sides of revolutionary confrontations, and many more millions of non-Christians are watching the reaction of Christianity to revolutionary change to see what Christianity really means," he said.

Failure to meet the challenge of involvement in revolutionary change "is to court the most fatal of modern condemnations, that of 'irrelevance,' " Monsignor Higgins said.

At another meeting, that of the International Catholic Charities Conference, Archbishop Patrick A. O'Boyle said in Washington:

"The city has been made for man, not man for the city. All of its physical and economic and political dimensions, therefore, must be directed to that larger purpose of promoting the inner and outer life of man, for whom it is made. The city is not primarily a place of business, or industry, or government; it is the living organism for the fullest expression of man's capacities for living and learning, and for serving God.'

He also stressed that as "God intended the earth and all it contains for the use of man," all things essential for decent and happy living - housing, employment, health services, open spaces for creation, and special family and social services - must be available for all.'

Vol. 77 No. 51

Friday, September 16, 1966

MOST REV. JAMES E. KEARNEY, D.D., President Published weekly by the Rochester Catholic Press Association . 85 Scio St. - 454-7050 - Rochester, N.Y. 14604

ELMIRA OFFICE 817 Robinson Bldg., Lake St. RE 2-5688 or RE 2-3423 Second class postage paid at Rochester, N.Y.

required under the Act of Congress of March 3, 1879 Canada \$5.50; Foreign Countries \$6.75

What Ever Happened to Pope John?

John XXIII has now been

The human race in general

regards him as a great-hearted

lover of men: Christians, as a

saintly, fatherly soul who rep-

resents the new spirit in the

But do these two images co-

in cide? And can we say that

they do the great pope justice?

Do they amount to more than

kindly recollections of a well-

loved personality? Indeed are

they not camouflage for an at-

manner of the Gospel, quite

without the learning and expe-

rience called for by his high

Many a Christian, of course,

would do well to follow such

are example, living in evangelic

simplicity, the docile instru-

ment of the Holy Spirit, But

the question whether John

XXIII was a passive instru-

ment of that kind, or whether

he did not contribute something

of his own, enlarging on the

instintives of the Spirit and

emabodying them in permanent

with a few words of praise?

Pope John?

dead for three years. He lives

on as the Pope of the Council.

Cardinal Edward Mooney, Rochester's fourth bishop, once remarked, "There's nobody so dead as a dead priest, except a dead bishop." and it is doubly true of a dead pope - particularly Pope John XXIII who is steadily fading into the background, as is the spirit which characterized the Catholic Church during his pontificate. One prelate who commented on this "de-aggiornamento" was Cardinal Giacomo Lercaro of Bologna, Italy, who acted on Pope Paul's recent suggestion that bishops retire at least by the age of 75. Herder Correspondence magazine quotes the accompanying article from Ruhwort,



Energetic Cardinal Lercaro is to retire at 75 as Pope Paul suggested recently to bishops and pastors.

empty sack which the Holy Spirit has a way of filling with his power." The first part of this statement, at least, would apply to anyone, considering the poverty of us all when compared with God and his Spirit. But historical accuracy compels us to admit that John's "sack' was by no means empty, that in fact it brimmed over with precious knowledge and experience gathered in the course of a long life. This fact, being far too little known, has been given

But to go into the matter with any care is to discover that the Pope's intellectual background was deep, solid, and well-balanced. He had meditated on Scripture, the liturgy, the Fathers, the founders of religious communities, for so many years, had so faithfully conformed his life to them, that they were second nature to him: whatever he thought or did was instinct with their

But the total view of things that he had as a result re-

mained largely unknown until the day when he was called to the Chair of Peter and, instead of going on as the patient, intelligent disciple, had to become the teacher and shepherd of Christendom. Then the waters dammed up inside him began to flow forth. He was moved to take those unexpected decisions that a lesser mind would have shrunk from in alarm.

When the choice of the Sacred College fell upon Angelo Roncalli they hardly guessed how well-prepared he was, this man whom the Spirit was singling out.

The impulsion of the Spirit John's resolution to obey God, and his admirable training, all fused in bold decisions and pronouncements that scarcely anybody would have expected

Cardinal Lercaro holds that many allocutions of John XXIII which seem quite casual, prove on closer examination to contain important theological dicta and historical truths.

He is convinced that they set many problems in a highly personal perspective, one even more original than that of John's two celebrated encyclicals "Mater et magistra" and "Pacem in terris." This perspective, the Cardinal believes evidently on the basis of personal knowledge—embraces the entire Church, all her concerns, and the whole problem of mod-

Cardinal Lercaro says that John XXIII, quite aware of his position as teacher of the nations, advisedly embarked on the bold policy of reforming the Church. It was no daredevil who took the step for lack of wisdom and experience.

Now if he not only trusted his own inspiration but was fully conscious that some, at least, of his doctrinal views and historical judgments were thoroughly sound, then certain conclusions force themselves upon us to which we have not really adverted.

For if this is so,

1. then John is a saintly doctor of the Church;

2. then his propositions and ideas are not passe simply because he has died and been succeeded by another pope;

3. then it is for us to unwrap the explosive material that looked so harmless when the naive soul - as we imagind him -tossed it out into the

4. then the question arises whether we must not follow this John much farther than we are prepared to do today, because he sees men, the Church, and the world exactly as they are; and because he can tell us on the best of authority what must be done today to show men God's salva-

If John XXIII were just an enthusiast, Cardinal Lercaro maintains, he would not be a saint, only a reckless fool jeopardizing priceless values out of rank ignorance.

ly think-out of fear, perhaps, or out of anxiety to check, to canalize, to control, to divert, the flood of insight and logic which that man let loose? That is the question with which Cardinal Lercaro has confronted

There is no doubting what he himself would have us do -accept the whole John and set about realizing the great man's vision.





Pope John began his history making pontificate when he was 77. His death in 1962 was taken by some churchmen as an end to turbulent chapter in Church's life. Photo shows prelates at his funeral.

What Alliance for What Progress?

By GARY MacEO IN

The United States has reached a new extreme of self-deception with President Johnson's unctuous claim that the Alliance for Progress in its fifth anniversary is on target and on schedule. Nothing could be further from the fact.

Let us put aside for a moment the desirability of the program. Let us stick simply to the commitments formally made and signed by the United States, August

Latin American growth rates are exceeding the minimum annual 2.5 per cent per capita stipulated by the Agreement, the President said. What the Agreement said was that the growth rate, to be fixed by each country in the light of its stage of evolution, should ensure three things.

It should permit a rapid approach to self-sustaining development. It should narrow the gap between per capita income ira Latin America and in developed countries. It should in no case be less than 2.5 per cent annually. In most Latin A merican countries, not one of these three criteria is being met.

Mr. Johnson promised new aid to Latin America, but he failed to note that weare not giving the aid to which we are

committed, and that much of the aid we give is being given in violation of our commitments

The United States is committed to provide development loans on a long-term basis, up to 50 years, and "in general at very low or zero rates of interest." The amount is to be "the major part" of the \$20 billion needed as a minimum in external funds from 1961 to 1971, with "priority to the relatively less developed

Total U.S. aid authorized for fiscal 1965 was just \$1 billion, but disbursements usually run 30 per cent or more below authorizations. Not more than half of this aid is spent on development within the meaning of the Agreement. One quarter of the total went to the two relatively most highly developed countries, Venezuela and Mexico, both of which have their own capital-export programs to their poorer neighbors.

Instead of the "zero or low rates of interest" stipulated by the Agreement, Latin America is being charged progressively higher rates and forced to repay over shorter periods. Foreign debts which fell due in 1965 totaled \$1.9 billion, more than the total aid actually provided from all sources. As for the contribution of foreign private investors, instead of an anticipated inflow of \$130 million in 1965, there was a new withdrawal of \$95 million.

Total U.S. foreign aid, incidentally, has fallen below 0.5 per cent of gross national product (gnp). France gives more than that. Even capital-hungry Japan gave 0.7 per cent in 1965, pledged in April 1966 to raise annual aid to poor nations to 1 per cent of gnp.

Mr. Johnson boasted that the Alliance commitment to building democratic so-cieties was being fulfilled. He did not attempt to explain why 29 per cent of our 1965 aid went to shore up a military dictatorship which had ousted the democratically elected regime of Brazil, or how the new military dictatorship in Argentina is building democracy. One might conceivably argue, as Castro does, that development requires dictatorship. I do not understand who calls a dictatorship a de-

President Johnson's denial of the need to change Latin America's social structures as a preliminary to economic development (even to the point of omitting land reform from his list of agriculture's needs) is a rejection of a basic element of the charter of the Alliance for Pro-

For the Catholic who has read Par. 71 of the Vatican Council's Constitution on the Church in the Modern World, or any of a score of statements by Latin American bishhops, it creates an additional problem. Bishop Manuel Larrain, president of the Council of Latin American bishops, called last fall, in what proved to be his spiritual testament (he was killed in a car accident in June 1966), for "profound and rapid structural changes." On that point Christian thinking in Latin America is today unanimous. Without it, a 2.5 per cent increase or any increase in growth rates only adds to tensions by widening further the already intolerable gaps between rich and poor.

Talking about 2.5 per cent, I wonder where Mr. Johnson got his figure. The New York Times of August 18 says 1.4 per cent. I believe this is closer to the mark, certainly above the 5-year average. If present trends continue, not even that level can be sustained. Spiraling costs of servicing the external debt, aggravated by the trend to faster repayment and higher interest rates, are creating a capital drain of crisis proportions. This, if ignored, will force wholesale debt repudiation and encourage Cuban-style seizures to prevent convulsive collapse of the economy of several countries within 10 to 15 years.

pitch after last ni Center, begin too Catholic Youth Org

Bishop Kearney chairman of the di the CYO program activities and ura Four division work under F. Jan

William Feldman cial sponsors div are Gerard Mul Casey, Charles Nic In the general men's division, hea

E. Post, the leade J. Fischette, Fred Nabb Jr., C. Norm William O'Brien a las Martin.

Mrg. E. Garrett

Hi

By REV ROBER series on the Leg in the Diocese of Today's topic is th No survey of Leaction in the Dioce

complete without of the Junior Legic If you're under enter a Junior prac ish unit). There groups in the Dio

The Junior Le apostolic group standards for its' signment, at leas some daily prayer "It's tough-but the summary of

group I visited rec background for t Journal article. This group is high school studen

from 14 to 17 year covering all four y

Crowley, i aldine in the way she had



31 DAYS