

Talk It Over

Walking

By ANNE CULKIN

Dear Miss Culklin:

Will you please write the proper way for a woman to walk up steps. Some persons say that when you are going up your heel should not touch the step. Others say should only should be the toe, not the heel. And another says that I am not sure about it. What you are carrying a pocketbook with a handle, do you hold it on the arm or the elbow or is it all right if you hold the handle with your hand?

Gail

Dear Gail:

When approaching a stairway, the most important point to be remembered and practiced consistently is that we never bend the body at the waist. To do so is to give the impression that we are crawling, not walking. The knees, as when we ordinarily walk, are slightly bent; if the steps of a stairway are wide enough (usually they are), the entire foot is placed on them, heel and toe. In order to avoid an unattractive bounce, it is recommended you place your weight on the foot on the step, bend the knee of the back leg and lift it to the next step.

As for the pocketbook with the handle, bend the elbow and allow the handle to rest in the middle of the arm. The end of the hand is turned up. The entire arm should be held close to the body.

At the top of the pocketbook with the handle, bend the elbow and allow the handle to rest in the middle of the arm. The end of the hand is turned up. The entire arm should be held close to the body.

Don't get me wrong: I'm not a cheap skater. But neither am I a millionaire. At the guys I go around with, I think I am. I have a car — an old one — and it uses gas like crazy. There are three fellows who ride to and from school with me. I don't mind their not offering to buy some gas for this ride, because I would be driving to school anyway, so it doesn't cost me anything extra to pick them up. But it is all the places they want to go after school. It's "let's go here," "let's go there." But never do they offer to put in a dime for gas. I'm fed up. How do I go about getting my point across to them?

Bruke

Dear Bruke:

There is a boy I know and like very much. But there is one thing about him that really upsets me when I am with him. He continually takes the Lord's Name in vain. Each time he does, he also will say, "excuse me." I never knew what to say to him to just look at him. What should I say? He is really a polite boy in so many ways.

S.M.

Dear S.M.:

It is difficult to give exact words, but to say something such as the following might help: "Jack, sometimes I wonder if you are the One Who you are offending most to excuse you for taking His Name in vain." This remark should provoke some comment from your friend that would give you an opportunity to remind him of the reasons why he should rid himself of the sinful and deplorable habit that is him.

Dear Miss Culklin:

I was thrilled today to find out that my brother and I are to be Godparents for my Aunt's baby that is to be born in April. My brother is 17, and I am 15. I would like to know if the priest, My Mother says that she thinks but is not sure that it is the Godfather who pays the priest. Will you please write the answer?

Clare

Dear Clare:

Congratulations! A priest is never paid for any service he performs. However, it is traditional that the priest who administers the Sacrament of Baptism is given an offering in a sealed white envelope by the Father, not the Godfather of the child.

Dear Miss Culklin:

You have said many times in your column that a person should not ask others where they bought their dress, etc., etc. I agree. But what do you think of women who turn over plates when they sit down to eat to see what make of china it is? There is a friend of my mother's who does this all the time.

She did it at our home last week this woman's daughter and I went to a shower in honor of a mutual friend of ours. I was embarrassed that I couldn't look at the dishes. She served a dessert coffee. The dessert was already off the individual plates when we sat down, so she couldn't turn her head. So what did she do? She ASKED the hostess what kind of china it was. Explain if you can why a girl who is supposed to know something could ever get so personal.

Baffled

This girl simply takes the same kind of question she apparently heard her mother ask of others over a period of years. Frankly, however, wouldn't you have preferred to be asked the question instead of having stumbled into a woman's washette hall. The question itself instead of having stumbled into the dessert off the plate in search of its maker. Seriously speaking, we can know that we never inquire of our hostess — is it sterling etc.

SERVICE TO YOUR CAR

SAVE \$\$\$

CUT RATE PRICES ON BEVERAGES

The House of Imported Beers
New York State Beer & Ale
MIZZLEGEAR'S BROWN PEARL
SOFT DRINKS

We Also Carry 4 & 12 oz. Sarsaparilla, Quinine Water, Tom Collins Mix

ART HACK, Beverages
Shelford Rd. at Empire Blvd. BU 8-8160

crystal pavilion? No. To acquire a table setting is something to be encouraged, but to practically ask a hostess to place the price tag back on each individual item would not be removed is something to be discouraged.

Dear Miss Culklin:

Do you think it is right for a boy to ask you to go to his home to meet his parents or the way to a formal dance?

W.C.

Dear W.C.:

A girl should be flattered by the suggestion. It would seem to indicate the boy wants his parents to share his pride.

Dear Miss Culklin:

Don't get me wrong: I'm not a cheap skater. But neither am I a millionaire. At the guys I go around with, I think I am. I have a car — an old one — and it uses gas like crazy. There are three fellows who ride to and from school with me. I don't mind their not offering to buy some gas for this ride, because I would be driving to school anyway, so it doesn't cost me anything extra to pick them up. But it is all the places they want to go after school. It's "let's go here," "let's go there."

But never do they offer to put in a dime for gas. I'm fed up. How do I go about getting my point across to them?

Don't get me wrong: I'm not a cheap skater. But neither am I a millionaire. At the guys I go around with, I think I am. I have a car — an old one — and it uses gas like crazy. There are three fellows who ride to and from school with me. I don't mind their not offering to buy some gas for this ride, because I would be driving to school anyway, so it doesn't cost me anything extra to pick them up. But it is all the places they want to go after school. It's "let's go here," "let's go there."

But never do they offer to put in a dime for gas. I'm fed up. How do I go about getting my point across to them?

Bruke

Dear Bruke:

Even if you were a millionaire, you should expect expenses to be shelled. Granted that no extra gas is used because your friends ride to and from school with you. But there are other little items to be considered, such as the money your buddies save by not having to pay for transportation, plus the conveniences you provide for them. In brief, they SHOULD offer to share the gas expense. As for the little rides after school, speak out! They WILL respect you more if you do. Hey fellows, this thing doesn't run us off. It's a diet of gas. Gas cost money. I'm broke. How about you?"

Race Tensions Seen Easing

In South

Cleveland (N.C.) — Much of the racial tensions are beginning to subside in the South with the conspicuous exception of Alabama and Mississippi — a Catholic leader in the struggle for racial justice said here.

Matthew Ahmann of Chicago, executive secretary of the National Catholic Conference for Interracial Justice, here June 18-19 for a meeting of the Religious Research Association, said that the South's biggest change has been realized in the arrival of the industrial revolution there.

He added that the changing South is beginning to feel the impact of the more or less color-blind corporation employment policies.

That emerging industrial South, he continued, is also moving political power away from the traditional rural areas and toward the big cities.

The U.S. Supreme Court's recent decision for reparation of state legislatures will, he added, hasten the movement toward urban political control.

Ahmann said he is confident that the new civil rights law will not be delayed as was the U.S. Supreme Court's 1965 decision against school integration.

One reason, he continued, is that enforcement of the civil rights law involves the president and his administration politically — in a way that the court's school integration decision did not.

Compliance with the civil rights law will come almost automatically, he said, because Negroes in the South are beginning to break down especially in the key areas of public accommodations and employment.

University Gets Law

Washington (N.C.) — A \$1,000,000 Housing and Home Finance Agency loan has been made to Duquesne University, Pittsburgh, to build an addition to a women's residence hall. The four-story addition will provide housing for 240 women students. The university is known that we never inquire of the Holy Ghost. Father

deon of Automobile Club of Rochester, Brian Garner (left) of Sacred Heart Cathedral School and Joseph Siegh, same school hold their certificates.

Awards Given Catholic - Orthodox

Dialog to Erase Enmity

Athens — (RNS) — A noted Greek theologian said here that the proposed dialogue "on ecumenism" between the Roman Catholic Church and Eastern Orthodoxy could "erase forever" the "accumulated differences, ignorances, suspicions, hate, crimes and fanaticism of many countries."

Pope's meeting with the Patriarch, that role could be far more vital "if there was greater internal cohesion, which is now only lukewarm."

The unprecedent meeting, he said, had stirred the "whole world" — not only the Christian world, but even the non-Christian religions. Because through his meeting came testimony, in a most evident way, of the deeply-rooted Christian concord and the intention of East and West to seek understanding between the two Christian worlds, an effort to remove the cursed schism which (would have) the consequences of doing away with the remaining divisions and subdivisions."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

Here Dr. Alivizatos said that

the Catholics understood that the Orthodox Church, through her meeting, did not project herself only but represents the Catholic view as well, the traditions (in many ways coinciding with those of Orthodoxy) which could not otherwise be found in a Protestant environment."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit by the given opportunity to bring our church out of obscurity, in which it had stayed for historical reasons, manifestations of reaction and slanders against the happy meeting of the two church leaders were heard — (charging) that it was suspicious, destructive and dangerous for Orthodoxy."

He was highly critical of Greek Orthodox leaders and laymen who had opposed the meeting of the two churchmen.

"Instead of being quick to profit