## NNUAL SCHOOL REPO

. Its weakness lay in the that once deprived of offii, it fell apart. The soldiers the line fled in fear and conon when there was no one ssue orders. The American her was not so perfectly ned, not as precise and maie like, but he had initiative courage. Let all the offis be shot down or captured. GI carried on. He had the rage and the stamina to ve shead, placing one foot ward at a time, facing the cnown, knee deep in mud, in trange land, fighting sweaty gles, buzzing insects, and ring bullets. It was not so ch his military training as it s high idealism, his initias, his courage that made him

zeatable. hose boys were just out of mot as yet swalod up by the scamy environnt of adult life stready dobed. They were fighting h high resolve for the Demi tic ideals they had learned the classrooms. What hapis to this spirit after gradion cannot be blamed on the ools. Business leaders, big i little political leaders, on leaders, an economy of political leaders. ests, a press, radio, and emtainment world careless of its ponsibility for the common d, an adult environment. soned by materialism, and nequently productive of disresty, indecency, and unthfulness, these are the gracies that destroy the efts of the schools to produce ed citizens for American soly. The Remedy-It will be and only in the supernatural the democratic way of life the supermatural way of -s return to God, the wiedge of whom the Amern people desay to the va jority of their school chil-

t is difficult to see how any se foundation for democratic tiety can evolve out of a losophy, which does not nit a supermatural destiny man, and does not admit eternal supernatural law. et us take the question of child's responsibility. Pubspeakers are also accustomto extol the importance of ching our children responallity. One cannot teach re-Ensibility without terminals. numan being must be responle for something to somelv. He must be responsible his human acts. To whom full he be responsible? To aself alone? Then every n is a law unto himself, and basis is established for anhy rather than democracy. auld he be responsible to the ongest and thus is dictatorp created. Many openly adate that pupils be taught reonsibility to some ephemeral ng called society. The end either no responsibility at or at best, some form of ernational socialism.

finally should our own sited States become the terrus of responsibility, and perican Democracy our relin? This is National Social- It allows for no criticism the man who made Germany god and Nazism his relin, or today Stalin his God d Communism his religion. ere is no way to teach real ponsibility for human acts, i still preserve the essential mity of man as the foundan of democracy, unless a pernatural God is admitted the terminus of responsibil-This is the only true founcion for the preservation of mocracy. It is at once the cient Jewish philosophy of

education and the Christian philosophy of education. Considering the education of the child in relation to society, we find that the work of education based on this principle is to help the child to live or better still to provide the child with all those experiences so that he may learn to live as God would have him live in American democratic society. This would be a tremendous help toward the solution of our American social problems, to the chaos in American life.

Challenge of Child In the face of all this, the American school child may well hurl a challenge at the adult public and ask the" question when do I learn about my Ged? If we are to accept the totalltarian concept of education, and the term as used here is in its good sense meaning to educate the whole child, we are bound to surround the child with every opportunity according to his ability whereby he will become acquainted with his total environment; in other words; how he can establish the best relationships with his neighbor and society with his physical environment, with his spiritual environment, that is, with his God who made him and all creation for him. God is not just another phase of his environment to which he must be educated but herein lies the explanation and the cause of the child's entire-phylcal and human world, for it is in God, and in religion which binds hims to God, that the child finds the answers to those personal questions, where do I come from why am I here. where arm I going?

Religion is a philosophy of life, it is the synthesis of one's knowledge of the universe and of man's place in the universe, th summary of all principles regulating life and conduct. If all other knowledges in the school are divorced from religion then the child's education becomes merely an empty gesture-words and nothing more. Why is it that our nation alone of all the inheritors of Christian civilization denies religious education to its children, when England, Belgium, Holland, Scotland and our neighbor to the North. Canada all support the religious school? Some light is shed on this question in a brief review of its his-

torical background. Religious Heritage From the dawn of Christianity till quite modern times all education in the western world was conducted by the Church. Previous to the Reformation it was the Catholic Church only which administered education. After the Reformation, the several Protestant denominations also took up this task as a matter of course. Until the issuance of the Praxisian School Code of 1763 civil authority as such had taken little active interest in the administration of schools. Indeed, it was not until after the Napoleonic Wars that secularization in the same sense of full State control, really began.

This was the heritage which America received from Europe Hence, throughout the colonial period and for a full half century after the promulgation of the Constitution of the United States, practically all achools in our land were placed under the auspices of the churches. The financial support came from public taxation, state subsidies, church contributions, and fultions. This was the ayetem under which the Fathers of the Republic and their childrengwere educated. No one



POPE PIUS XI: "Catholics will never feel, whatever may have been the sacrifices already made, that they have done enough for the support and defence of their schools and for the securing of laws that will do them justice."-Encyclical on the Christion Education of Youth.

was conscious of any inconsistency in training a child to be at once a good citizen and a good Christian.

The change from Church controi to State control was brought about mainly through two circumstances. After 1800 the religious homogeneity of some areas, notably in New England, began to disintegrate. Two or more sects might occupy the territory formerly occupled by one. Disputes arose as to which of the several churches should be intrusted with the management of the single school frequented by differing faiths. This ultimately gave birth to the thought to remove the schools from any church control and place them exclusively in the hands of the State as a presumably neutral party.

After 1800, too, began the flow of immigration from many countries of Europe. The educational reformers were not slow to turn this to their. purpose. The example of Prussia, which was employing the schools to weld together a powerful unified nation, strongly appealed to the American leaders. To create here, also a State system of schools for a like national objective, to fuse all racial strains pouring into America inte one mould, to impart to the younger generations an enthusiastic loyalty to our young Republic-this fitted well in the new educational plan, Moreover, it had a striking value in controversy. The argument employed for the necessity of a State-controlled school was, namely, that only by such a measure could religious neutrality be maintained in schools. Secondly, the average American of colonial stock could not but be alarmed over the possibility of the new types of foreigners alienizing e country. So far as the religious argument went, the common man only wanted to be assured that his children should receive in the public school the traditional principles of Christianity, He was given solemn assurance that this would be

114:44

The Secular School Thus was born the secularized public school in America. Political forces were put inte operation. Appropriate legislation was quickly passed in state after state whereby tag suport was withdrawn from all schools not completely control led by civil government. It was thought, and not unreasonably, that, deprived of state finances, those Church groups which showed reluctance to discontinue their work of education would soon be starved into submission. While this has not been verified in the case of Catholics it has been verified in fairly complete fashion in the case of all other denominations. The secularizing tide swept everything before it. Beginning in Massachusetts and New York State in 1825, ft covered all states by 1850, Since this date, it is fair to say that the great mass of the American people have received an elementary education from which religion has been elimin-

Both Sides Suffer

Such is the situation as viewed historically, a situation thoroughly damaging to the cause of Christian civilization. On the one hand, it has elminnated religion from the education of public-school children whose parents are Christian and desire their children to be brought up as Christians. On the other hand, it has caused Catholics to construct and maintain at vast sacrifice a separate system of schools which, despite all effort is not adequate to care for all their

children. . It has entalled heavy sacrifice from Catholic people. It has prevented at least 50 per cent of our Catholic children from receiving the Christian education which is their right. It has so called upon our resources of men and material that, having built and garrisoned-our educational fortress; we have been forced to curtail many other charity services which the Church in other lands has developed to a very high degree. It has placed Cath olics on the defensive, deeply

irritated them in the past by compelling them to vindicate their patriotism, and has rendered access to positions of high public trust difficult and at times impossible. On the other side, it has completely taken religion from the public schools. It has prevented these schools from conveying to their children the Christian (Protestant or Catholic) or Jewish heritage of their parents. It has forced the thousands of public school teachers and administrators who are sincere, religious-minded people to conduct an education which is entirely, out of harmony with their inward convictions, It has compelled them to try to compenante for the lack of religion by developing a system of character formation which they themselves recognize as large

ly futile. Is such a altuation inevitable? The answer depends on whether the arguments advanced a century ago to justify such a system still command conviction. Let us review the chief of these in order.

The secularized public school of America was conceived from the idea that a least common denominator of religion can be formulated which shall exclude all matters of sectarian variation and which shall include all ensential doctrines of revealed religion. This was certainly the profound objective of the educational reformers; and it le a historical fact that, had not the American people bean convinced of the possibility, they would never have andersed the evation of a Mate-controlled school. After all, this government was founded by mon who nd in the Pederal Court tetion and in every State Consiliution, despite the Inci that many of these inites have been m in relatively re years when the religious altiide of the matter as a whole admittedly has not been so proeed us in the earlier days. Christianity has had too strong a hold on the American people to be lightly shakes off. It is a fact that not a single State constitution probbits the teaching of religion in a public school. But all, either expressly or by implication, forbid the ng of "sectariamisers." This distinction between "religion" and "sectarianism" we owe to the founders of the pul-He school.

Misguided Reformers There is no reason to impugn the sincerity of the educational reformers of the 19th century. Yet, sincere though they were and undoubtedly realous, they were men of slight intelligence, narrow outlook, and woefully limited learning. Otherwise, how could they have failed to see that they were creating a new religion of secularism and using the public school as its-church? And how could they have made so colossal a blunder as to attempt to construct a religious code which was deprived of all intellectual principles? What religion is that which can be accepted by Congregationalists who believe in the Trinity and Unitarians who deny the Trinily; by Christians who accept the leadership of Christ and Jews who reject the leadership; by Theists who follow a divine revelation and missists who spura such revelation? It surely needed no scumen to forsee the result—the total extinction not merely of sectification but of all religion from the public school this horrible vacuum that exists today.

and in Fage 14) C