Rev. Dr. Owen B. McGuire

THE ONLY CHEERFUL VIEW OF HUMAN LIFE

proposed last week was is the central doctrine (and sect) of the Christian religion The answer is The Incarnation

w this doctrine is rightly, adequately understood, if it is under-stood why it became a fact and what are its consequences for us (therefore for human life) in time and in eternity then it will be m why the doctrine of the Fall in the only cheerful view of human Mie, It is, namely, this relation-ship between the Fall and the Incornation which not only solves the appairing problem of the wickedass and miseries of this world, but also shows us that in the Inearnation we have gained more. much more, than was lost in the Fall; that we have gained in the second Adam more than we lost in

That is what the Church means when in the Exultet on Holy Saturday when in a rapture of joy and triumph she exclaims: O felix pa quae talem so tantum meruli habere Redemptoreru: O happy Fall which earned for us such and se great a Redeemer. This same spirit of joy and triumph finds expression in an antiphon which we priests recite eight times daily in the Divine office during the Octave of Easter "This is the day the Lord has made let us rejoice and be glad therein." Namely, the Resurrection is the triumph of the Inown resurrection.

own resurrection. pm TRUTH AS REVEALED

In their speculations the Scholastics proposed the hypothetical question If our first parents had ot fallen would God have become Man? Some theologians have answered in the affirmative. However that may be, the fact, the truth as revealed in the New Testament and constantly taught by the Church is that God became Man to redeem and save us from the consequences of the Fall.

Every Sunday you hear this with repeated in the chantling of the Credo Qui propter nos homi-mes et propter nostrium salutom de-scendit de coelis -who for our saltion descended from heaven, etc. Namely, to save us, to redeem we from the Fall and its consequences.

This, then is the reason why the security that is the relationship between the Fail and that fact. So we have already the enswers to three of the four Quiz Questions. The answer to the fourth will appear in the sequel (next week).

This is truly a terrible world in which we live. Is it better or worse than it was, say fifty years ago? It is certainly no better. At that time, and for the preceding generation, the great centers of the "new enlightenment" were the Universities of Germany. Their praise was chanted by the "intellectuals" on both sides of the At-lantic. At Tuebingen especially they had demolished the Bible and shown it to be a collection of myths and primitive, superstimytas and primitive properations. At all the other centers science was advancing. New discoveries were daily. We were just at the beginning of a new era. "The Dawn" had just broken. The full Dawn" had just broken, The full light of the new day would follow. Man was self-sufficient. If you want to know what these "Dawniets" were (and still are) you will find it in a book just published by Skeed and Ward, Christian Crisis by Michael de in Bedoyere, Editor of the Catholic Herald of London. And the men who are now loudest thing German are the lineal and legical heirs of those who were the most enthusiastic heralds of the Dewn that was then irradiating from Germany and about to illumine the world. Well, anyway the "Liberal" Dawn has not fulfilled its promise. This world is still a ferrible place.

NEWMAN'S APOLOGIA
And that brings us to those passages in Newman's Apologia to which I referred last week. They will be found in the chapter "Position of my Mind since 1845."
In that chapter the Cardinal is

not attempting to prove the chief dogmas of the Catholic religion. His purpose there is to show that mis purpose there is to show that admitting the existence of God the Creator, a Supreme Being, intel-ligent and free, Who has placed man in this world and endowed hiet with intelligence and free him with intelligence and free will starting from this truth, he made in to show that the mall, the linearnation and an infamilie Charch to carry on the purpose of the lacarnation, give the only convenience explanation of the world we had it; the only making ever make the indicate the indic

world to make us happier here and hereafter. They give, he inti-mates, the only explanation that fits into the facts of human his-

tery, into human experience.

Believing as I do and must, he says, in the existence of God look out of myself into this world of men and there I see a sight or men and there I see a sight which fills me with unspeakable distress... If I looked into a mirror and did not see my own face. I should have the sort of feeling which actually comes upon me when I look into this living busy wor'd and see no reflection of its

wor'd and see no reflection of its Creator. (He is speaking not of the material universe but of this "world of men") . . The sight of this world is nothing else than the prophet's scroll full of 'lamentations, and mourning, and woe."

Then follows that majestic sentence of 200 words in which—crescit cunits—he depicts this "world of men" as it is and ever has been, and concludes . . "All this is a vision to dizzy and appall: and inflicts upon the mind the sense of a profound mystery, which is aba profound mystery, which is absolutely beyond human solution." ONLY ANSWER

Then he proceeds to show that the Fell is the only conceivable answer the only satisfactory an-swer that has ever been given, the only answer that fits into the facts. He asks "What shall be said to this heart piercing reason be-wildering fact? I can only answer. that either there is no Creator, or this living society of men is in a presence And so I argue about the world if there be a God, since there is a God, the human race is implicated in some terrible original calamity. It is out of joint with the purposes of its Creator. This is a fact, a fact as true as the fact of its existence, and thus the doctrine of what is called original sin becomes to me almost as certain as that the world exists, and as the existence of God."

But God had mercy on His rebellious creatures, on the work of his own hands, and applied a remedy which has made joy and cheer-fulness and triumph possible in this appalling "world of men."

But of this next week.

OURIER'S URRENT INEMA OMMENTS

By JOHN SPRINGER

"The invaders"—A-1

Six Nazi U-beat men escape then their craft is blown up in the Hudson Bay Straits. The six wander through Canada, committing almost every crime including murder in their efforts to get out of Canada and to reach the neutral territory of the United States. During the course of their travels, the U-Boat men kill a lone French-Canadian trapper in an Eskimo pillage, attempt to disrupt a peaceful religious settlement in the Canadian wheatfelds, and repay the hospitality of a novelist in the North Woods with wandalism. Each adventure means the end of another Nazi until at the end only one is left—and he is doomed.

That's the story of "The Invad-

ers" - manufactured propaganda, perhaps, when considered in fetro-spect, but highly compelling in its filmed form. Through it all moves Lieutenant Hirth, leader of the six Nazis, and a personification of the cold, cruel, fanatical Nazi apirit. Eric Portman's brilliant playing of this role dominates the entire pic-ture, no small feat when stars like Laurence Olivier, Leslie Howard and Raymond Massey appear as principals in the various episodes. The three stars are at their best and there is an exceptional charand there is all exceptions that acterization by Anton Walbrock, as the gentle, but strong-willed, leader of the Hutterite sect. Glynis Johns, who replaced Elizabeth Bergner, is rather too doll-like as bergier, is rather too dini-inc as the Hutterite maid. A sore spot is the speech of the five actors who appear as the Nazi followers of Lieutenant Hirth. While the speech of Portman, Olivier, Wal-brook and Miss Johns is correctly. accented, the other five Nazis de-stroy the illusion by speaking in accents with range from cockney to Oxford British. Yet that is only a minor sore spot in a picture which is almost constantly effec-

The Remarkable Andrew ANNough the idea is better than

the execution, "The Remarkable not in Page 340

Sibley, findsay & Curr &



--- Complete and Personal Service for · Brides-to-Be. Their Families and Friends

Whether she chooses a modest ceremony, or a cathedral wedding ... a thousand-and-one problems confront every bride-to-be. And it's a wise bride who puts her marriage plans into the capable ments, answer all questions. We'll work out a plan to make the ments, answer all questions. We'll work out a pan to make the most of her budget . . . help her shop for both her personal and household trousseaux. We'll even post inquiring friends on what gifts she wants most! And all at not a penny extra charge!

The Bride's **Book of Plans**

A wonderfully complete guide for arranging and carrying out your wedding to the last detail of perfection. Every bride may have one, simply by registering with our Bureau, and filling out her Preference List

The Bride's Preference List

Invaluable record of the bride's preferences in silver. glass, china, linens . . . color schemes. Friends of the bride who shop at Sibley's will find the Preference List a convenient reference afor selecting the gift she really wants'

BRIDER BUREAU FIFTH FLOOR