

How 'Democracy' Worked In A Many-Party System

namely the small local units are allowed to manage their own af-fairs without interference by the national government. The more restricted such local freedom is,

public saw that and provided ac-ordingly. It would not be just to lump all the countries of Continental Europe in the same category in this respect. For this vicious many-party system, there are various causes in various countries. I will try to explain this at another time. As a concrete example of the sys-tem let us take Snain as it was As a concrete example of the sys-tem let us take. Spain as it was under the monarchy. And what I say of Spain can, mutatis mutandis, be applied to France. Italy and Portugal during the same period. The government — the Executive or Administration as we call it in this country—depends for its life an a meiority the Boulisment T

on a majority in the Parliament. It -the government, which is also called the Ministry is composed of, let us say, twelve Ministers. Secretaries we would call them in the U.S. They represent almost as many parties in the parliament, although usually the party to which the Prime Minister belongs is represented by three or four. How to take its place

HOW IT WORKS

Let us suppose such a govern-ment in office and having a ma-jority in the Parliament. One of the Ministers becomes dissatisfied of the Ministers resigns. Usually the reason is not really that he personally disagrees with what the cabinet is doing, or because it is not for the common good; but because the following he depends on in the parliament, or the local bosses back in the constituencies that elected his followers, are not getting all they bargained for when he entered the government. His be entered the government. His resignation sloes not necessarily mean the fall of the government. It creates what they call "a partial crisis." If his party has not a large following in the partiament, the Prime Minister may by a bargain with some other party, waiting for the charge of the applie find enotities with some other party, waiting for its share of the spoils, find mother to take his place, and the "crisis" is over, But if several Ministers become disgruntled and resign the Prime Minister may not be able to Prime Minister may not be asie to replace them and keep s majority in the parliament. This creates a "total crisis." The whole Ministry resigns and the Prime Minister places the resignation in the hands of the King for President — it doesn't really matter which). "Then beging that may be called

av be

I have said that the countries of of the whole Cabinet does not, as Continental Europe have never it does or used to be in England, knewn democracy as we under-stand it. I mean, of course, de-First the Prime Minister "consults" stand it. I mean of course, de-mocracy as a system of govern-ment; for democracy is a way of with the King. The King may, and usually did, advise the Prime Min-life not a form of national govern-ment and may exist and has in fact existed, better in monarchies than it has in some republics. It exists best, I believe, where there is most local freedom; where, namely the small host units eccents the leader so the parliament, he comes back and informs the King and the King the second the form a new government. and the King accepts the new gov-ernment. If the Prime Minister fails in his "consultations" with the leaders he informs the King, and the King calls in another leader the more restricted is also personal and advises him to form a gov-freedom. The founders of this Re-public saw that and provided ac-cordingly.

If and when the "crisis cannot be solved with the actual parilabe solves with the actual paria-ment, then and then only an appeal to the electorate becomes neces-sary. What happens then shows the viciousness of the system, and its hopelsamess as a democracy where the will of the people would provail. There was no one next in where the will of the people would prevail. There was no one party in office that would be held respon-sible, and there was so one party in opposition capable of taking over the government and becom-ing responsible. Hence also there is now no one party that can make an appeal to the electorate. In this situation (of an insoluble "crisis") the King (or President) calls in a leader of some group and commisaions him to form a government "to make the elections." This is literally the phrase that was curresented by three or four. How these are brought together and temporarily kept together will. I think, appear more intelligible if we consider how a government falls and another has to be formed The "leader" thus commissioned

by the King (or President) may have been one of the Cabinet that fell, or he may be the "leader" of fell, or he may be the "leader" of a group not represented in that Cabinet, or he may be one who was not a member of the parlia-iment at all. (This latter happened the Ministers becomes dissalished with some public policy advocated by his colleagues. I might say here that the Ministers composing the government are not necessarily the "lesders" of the various parties, " e "leader" often appoints one of his henchmen, himself remaining on the sidelines. This insures his "independence" - and urresponsibil-ity for what may occur. But one of the Ministers resigns. Usually ment. Parliament has been dis-solved. Its office is "to make the elections"--and it makes them. Its Ministers have full control of the machinery of the elections. It has (in the Minister of the Interior) full control of the police force, which in those countries is not local or provincial as in the U. S. but national, or, as we would say. Federal. With all these forces in hand they usually can obtains a re-turn at the top of the polis. They have made the elections. The re-turned the elections. The re-turned back in the constituencies; and these back in the constituencies; and these bosses care not a tinker's dam about the "idealogy" of the onent parts of the govern-What these bosses want is comit ment. to be little monarchs in their own little realms. Their support of the government candidates will depend on what these candidates can promise them; and as a governpromise them; and as a govern-ment already in power is a surer bet, they usually support the gov-ernment. They believe, very nat-urally, in the provers, "better the devil you know than the devil you don't know." These are only some evils of the

system; but there is not space at present for more of them. The re-sult was that the people of those





COLDEN CUERNSEY and PASTEURIZED MILK and CREAM

Stone 3394-3395

736 PORTLAND AVE

Menges

ĵ.