

The Most Important News of the Year Is Due in Next Week's Issue

Catholic Courier

Only Catholic Newspaper Published in The Entire Rochester Area

PUBLISHED AT ROCHESTER, N. Y.

THURSDAY, JANUARY 21, 1937

THURSDAY, JANUARY 21, 1937

FIVE CENTS A COPY—\$2.50 A YEAR

FIVE CENTS A COPY—\$2.50 A YEAR

K. of C. Mobilize For Communism Campaign

Death Takes Bishop M. J. Gallagher

Superior of Father Coughlin Dies Suddenly in Detroit Hospital

DETROIT The Most Rev. Michael J. Gallagher, Bishop of Detroit, died Wednesday evening in Providence Hospital.

He had been in the hospital only ten minutes. He had been ill of a throat ailment since January 10. His age was 70.

Msgr. Stephen S. Wozniak, his secretary, said that Bishop Gallagher had fallen into what was believed to have been sound sleep early Wednesday but when he failed to awaken at 4 p. m. his housekeeper, Mrs. James Cronin, telephoned Msgr. John Doyle.

Dr. Hay Andras, after an examination was surprised at the sudden collapse and ordered the Prelate to the hospital.

Installed in 1918 By directing a remarkable expansion of church activities in his Detroit diocese, Bishop Gallagher earned the respect of the building bishop.

Elevated to the bishopric at Grand Rapids, Mich., in 1918, he was installed as bishop of Detroit Oct. 28, 1924.

Under his administration there were established in the diocese more than 100 new parishes and schools and a score of religious communities.

Bishop Gallagher was known as one of the twin bishops. The other was Bishop Joseph S. Bremer of Cleveland. The two were intimates for years, having risen together in the Grand Rapids diocese.

Of greater general interest than his church record was Bishop Gallagher's support of the Rev. Charles E. Coughlin whose tempestuous career as a radio orator and politician attracted attention from many parishes in Bishop Gallagher's diocese.

Time after time when Father Coughlin's widely heard utterances on economic or political issues from his St. Bishop Gallagher went to his defense.

"I stand steadfastly behind the priest," he said speaking into Father Coughlin's microphone in the Royal Oak protest a radio address in 1932. "He speaks on behalf of the millions who are denied their just share of the goods of this world."

When the bishop celebrated the fortieth anniversary of his ordination in 1933, he chose Father Coughlin to deliver the sermon. When Father Coughlin built his new shrine of the Little Flower in Royal Oak he had the features of Michael Gallagher carved on the figure of the Archangel Michael at the base of the lofty cruciform tower.

Born in Michigan Bishop Gallagher attended public school there and later St. James Catholic Church school in Bay City.

After four years as a teacher in the public schools he entered the priesthood. He studied at St. Andrew's College in Mongret, College Limerick, Ireland, and in the University of Innsbruck, in the Austrian Tyrol. He was ordained March 19, 1893, at Brizen, Austria, and the next year returned to the United States.

He became head of the Grand Rapids diocese Sept. 26, 1916 and two years later was named Bishop of Detroit.

Named Fr. Coughlin As the diocese expanded, there came a call for a priest in the little parish in Royal Oak, and Bishop Gallagher asked the Rev. Charles E. Coughlin to take the charge.

Within a few years Father Coughlin's work as a radio orator and critical commentator on political, economic and sociological problems overshadowed in the public mind many of the other diocesan activities.

Bishop Gallagher as Father Coughlin's superior encouraged him in his establishment of the National Union for Social Justice.

BISHOP TERMS SIT-DOWN STRIKE AS LEGITIMATE

DETROIT In an address delivered to 1,500 Holy Name men in St. Peter and Paul's Cathedral, the Most Rev. Michael J. Gallagher, Bishop of Detroit, making reference to the labor dispute in the automotive industry declared a "sit-down" strike, if used only as a picketing measure and as a means of keeping out strike-breakers, is legitimate.

When such a method is employed to seize a plant, it results in illegal possession of property and is wrong. "The sit-down strike," the Bishop said, "has been used by Communists to attain their ends and is suspicious."

What Is Communism? Here's Real Answer

Dr. Curran Exposes False Doctrine of Red Tyranny

By Rev. Dr. Edward Lodge Curran, President, International Catholic Truth Society

In the first of the three articles which it has seen this week to write for the columns of the Rochester Catholic Courier we stressed the fact that the major part of the secular press of America is defiled in its duty to warn the American people of the evils of Communism.

As a consequence, the Catholic Press of America must assume leadership in exposing the godlessness, the immorality, and the treasonable principles and practices of Communism so that this country, which every Catholic loves and reveres and honors may be saved from the degradation of Soviet Russia.

In our second article a complete answer was given to those who insist that the matter is not a matter of life and death, but merely a theory but that it is a concrete problem which must be faced and fought by every American worthy of the name.

At present American Communism is in the propaganda stage. Through the written and spoken word Communist propagandists are attempting to seduce American youth, American students, American workers who believe in a cause of peace, and even the members of legitimate and laudable American Labor Unions.

The right of the American worker to strike is probably the most coveted weapon in the arsenal of labor recognized by the courts and the source of the high wages and reasonable hours and decent working conditions which make the status of the American workman the most enviable in the world.

Communism has robbed the worker of the right to strike in America. At present "Communist" tactics encourage strikes, even against the wishes of the majority of the workers engaged in a certain industry.

To foment and encourage strikes against the wishes of the legitimate leaders of American Unions is part of the Communist policy to keep the working class in a state of disorder and to create a revolutionary situation which Earl Browder heralds as the prelude to revolution by force of arms.

The greatest enemy of Communism should therefore be the American worker. Once they have used the striking strength of the American worker in their program of Revolution, the Communist will enact the economic tyranny of Russia.

They will deprive the American worker of the very right to strike which they themselves took advantage of. They will tolerate Trade Unions in name only. They will fasten a system of economic slavery now imposed upon the Russian workman and against which the Russian workman dares not strike.

As we remarked in our preceding article, Communism is not merely a system of economics. Communism is a philosophy of life. Communism proposes to renovate the entire life of man. No phase of human activity is to be foreign to its tyrannical influence. Even the

mind of growing youth are to be chained to the pillars of Communism.

Communism permits the establishment of no party outside itself. And yet American liberals whose ignorance of logic and truth is the most intolerant thing in the public press and on the public platform go in rhapsodies about Communism as the defender of democracy.

Let us suppose, for example, that after the last election President Roosevelt should have indulged in the following reflections: "Well, after all, I won forty-six of the forty-eight states. I received twenty-seven million votes. My opponent only received seventeen million."

Let us suppose the President allowed himself to be carried forward to the following conclusion: "What a waste of time and energy and money was sustained by my presidential opponent. What an affliction to the eyes and ears of the American reading and radio public. The country went on record as endorsing the New Deal. Even the Republican Party which opposed me did not repudiate the New Deal in its entirety. It criticized some of its methods, many of its projects, but it did not say away with the New Deal and back to the Old Deal. The country therefore has manifested itself to be New Dealish. Therefore, there is no reason for any party of opposition to exist. Therefore only one political party will be tolerated in America, namely, the party of the New Deal. The New Deal Party, with such a clear mandate of authority from the people, will

As a Philosophy of Life

As we remarked in our preceding article, Communism is not merely a system of economics. Communism is a philosophy of life. Communism proposes to renovate the entire life of man. No phase of human activity is to be foreign to its tyrannical influence. Even the

mind of growing youth are to be chained to the pillars of Communism.

Communism permits the establishment of no party outside itself. And yet American liberals whose ignorance of logic and truth is the most intolerant thing in the public press and on the public platform go in rhapsodies about Communism as the defender of democracy.

Let us suppose, for example, that after the last election President Roosevelt should have indulged in the following reflections: "Well, after all, I won forty-six of the forty-eight states. I received twenty-seven million votes. My opponent only received seventeen million."

Let us suppose the President allowed himself to be carried forward to the following conclusion: "What a waste of time and energy and money was sustained by my presidential opponent. What an affliction to the eyes and ears of the American reading and radio public. The country went on record as endorsing the New Deal. Even the Republican Party which opposed me did not repudiate the New Deal in its entirety. It criticized some of its methods, many of its projects, but it did not say away with the New Deal and back to the Old Deal. The country therefore has manifested itself to be New Dealish. Therefore, there is no reason for any party of opposition to exist. Therefore only one political party will be tolerated in America, namely, the party of the New Deal. The New Deal Party, with such a clear mandate of authority from the people, will

As a Philosophy of Life

As we remarked in our preceding article, Communism is not merely a system of economics. Communism is a philosophy of life. Communism proposes to renovate the entire life of man. No phase of human activity is to be foreign to its tyrannical influence. Even the

mind of growing youth are to be chained to the pillars of Communism.

Communism permits the establishment of no party outside itself. And yet American liberals whose ignorance of logic and truth is the most intolerant thing in the public press and on the public platform go in rhapsodies about Communism as the defender of democracy.

Let us suppose, for example, that after the last election President Roosevelt should have indulged in the following reflections: "Well, after all, I won forty-six of the forty-eight states. I received twenty-seven million votes. My opponent only received seventeen million."

Let us suppose the President allowed himself to be carried forward to the following conclusion: "What a waste of time and energy and money was sustained by my presidential opponent. What an affliction to the eyes and ears of the American reading and radio public. The country went on record as endorsing the New Deal. Even the Republican Party which opposed me did not repudiate the New Deal in its entirety. It criticized some of its methods, many of its projects, but it did not say away with the New Deal and back to the Old Deal. The country therefore has manifested itself to be New Dealish. Therefore, there is no reason for any party of opposition to exist. Therefore only one political party will be tolerated in America, namely, the party of the New Deal. The New Deal Party, with such a clear mandate of authority from the people, will

As a Philosophy of Life

As we remarked in our preceding article, Communism is not merely a system of economics. Communism is a philosophy of life. Communism proposes to renovate the entire life of man. No phase of human activity is to be foreign to its tyrannical influence. Even the

mind of growing youth are to be chained to the pillars of Communism.

Communism permits the establishment of no party outside itself. And yet American liberals whose ignorance of logic and truth is the most intolerant thing in the public press and on the public platform go in rhapsodies about Communism as the defender of democracy.

Methodist Papal Attack Is Answered

Charges That Vatican Is 'Pro-Fascist' Are Answered

NEW YORK The words of His Holiness Pope Pius XI are distorted in a new attack upon the Catholic Church launched by a Methodist organization here, which also uses as a part of its argument quotations from an "ex-priest" who lectures against the Catholic Church, and from a priest who has been forbidden to exercise his priestly functions.

The attack is embodied in a statement broadcast to the press which says the Vatican "is taking a pro-Franco, pro-Fascist position" and that this charge is confirmed by "additional statements from Vatican City."

The organization is the same—the Methodist Federation for Social Service which recently asserted that the Holy See's anti-Communist activities were but a screen for supposed support of Fascism by the Vatican. It is the same group—the Federation of which a prominent Methodist said in a recent article:

"The organization is flooding the Methodist Church with Communist propaganda. It is injecting erroneous Communist and Socialist theories into all of the societies of our church; it is poisoning the minds of our youth; and in many of our congregations it is rapidly causing the substitution of the preaching of Communism for the preaching of Christ."

Last October, at the annual conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, a formal demand was made for the trial of Dr. Harry F. Ward, Secretary of the Federation, on the charge of violating his religious vows by teaching conflicting views to those of the Methodist Church.

This time, the Federation says at the outset that it does not presume to speak officially for the Methodist Episcopal Church. Its previous attack upon the Catholic Church brought the firm assertion by a number of prominent Methodists that the Federation has no official connection with the Methodist Church as a whole.

Christmas Message

The Christmas message of His Holiness Pope Pius XI was widely quoted by many as proof not only to Communism, but also to totalitarian excesses, nevertheless, Ward sees this discourse of the Holy Father as one of the "additional statements" giving proof of a pro-Fascist position on the part of the Vatican.

The Holy Father's Christmas message said in part:

"Among those, moreover, who pretend to be defenders of the order against subversion of civilization, against the spread of atheistic communism, who in this defense claim for themselves leadership we see with sorrow not a few allowing themselves to be dominated and guided by false and fatal ideas, both in their choice of remedies and in the appraisal of their adversaries."

Let us suppose, for example, that after the last election President Roosevelt should have indulged in the following reflections: "Well, after all, I won forty-six of the forty-eight states. I received twenty-seven million votes. My opponent only received seventeen million."

Let us suppose the President allowed himself to be carried forward to the following conclusion: "What a waste of time and energy and money was sustained by my presidential opponent. What an affliction to the eyes and ears of the American reading and radio public. The country went on record as endorsing the New Deal. Even the Republican Party which opposed me did not repudiate the New Deal in its entirety. It criticized some of its methods, many of its projects, but it did not say away with the New Deal and back to the Old Deal. The country therefore has manifested itself to be New Dealish. Therefore, there is no reason for any party of opposition to exist. Therefore only one political party will be tolerated in America, namely, the party of the New Deal. The New Deal Party, with such a clear mandate of authority from the people, will

As a Philosophy of Life

As we remarked in our preceding article, Communism is not merely a system of economics. Communism is a philosophy of life. Communism proposes to renovate the entire life of man. No phase of human activity is to be foreign to its tyrannical influence. Even the

mind of growing youth are to be chained to the pillars of Communism.

Communism permits the establishment of no party outside itself. And yet American liberals whose ignorance of logic and truth is the most intolerant thing in the public press and on the public platform go in rhapsodies about Communism as the defender of democracy.

Let us suppose, for example, that after the last election President Roosevelt should have indulged in the following reflections: "Well, after all, I won forty-six of the forty-eight states. I received twenty-seven million votes. My opponent only received seventeen million."

Let us suppose the President allowed himself to be carried forward to the following conclusion: "What a waste of time and energy and money was sustained by my presidential opponent. What an affliction to the eyes and ears of the American reading and radio public. The country went on record as endorsing the New Deal. Even the Republican Party which opposed me did not repudiate the New Deal in its entirety. It criticized some of its methods, many of its projects, but it did not say away with the New Deal and back to the Old Deal. The country therefore has manifested itself to be New Dealish. Therefore, there is no reason for any party of opposition to exist. Therefore only one political party will be tolerated in America, namely, the party of the New Deal. The New Deal Party, with such a clear mandate of authority from the people, will

As a Philosophy of Life

As we remarked in our preceding article, Communism is not merely a system of economics. Communism is a philosophy of life. Communism proposes to renovate the entire life of man. No phase of human activity is to be foreign to its tyrannical influence. Even the

mind of growing youth are to be chained to the pillars of Communism.

Communism permits the establishment of no party outside itself. And yet American liberals whose ignorance of logic and truth is the most intolerant thing in the public press and on the public platform go in rhapsodies about Communism as the defender of democracy.

Let us suppose, for example, that after the last election President Roosevelt should have indulged in the following reflections: "Well, after all, I won forty-six of the forty-eight states. I received twenty-seven million votes. My opponent only received seventeen million."

Let us suppose the President allowed himself to be carried forward to the following conclusion: "What a waste of time and energy and money was sustained by my presidential opponent. What an affliction to the eyes and ears of the American reading and radio public. The country went on record as endorsing the New Deal. Even the Republican Party which opposed me did not repudiate the New Deal in its entirety. It criticized some of its methods, many of its projects, but it did not say away with the New Deal and back to the Old Deal. The country therefore has manifested itself to be New Dealish. Therefore, there is no reason for any party of opposition to exist. Therefore only one political party will be tolerated in America, namely, the party of the New Deal. The New Deal Party, with such a clear mandate of authority from the people, will

As a Philosophy of Life

As we remarked in our preceding article, Communism is not merely a system of economics. Communism is a philosophy of life. Communism proposes to renovate the entire life of man. No phase of human activity is to be foreign to its tyrannical influence. Even the

mind of growing youth are to be chained to the pillars of Communism.

Communism permits the establishment of no party outside itself. And yet American liberals whose ignorance of logic and truth is the most intolerant thing in the public press and on the public platform go in rhapsodies about Communism as the defender of democracy.

Let us suppose, for example, that after the last election President Roosevelt should have indulged in the following reflections: "Well, after all, I won forty-six of the forty-eight states. I received twenty-seven million votes. My opponent only received seventeen million."

Let us suppose the President allowed himself to be carried forward to the following conclusion: "What a waste of time and energy and money was sustained by my presidential opponent. What an affliction to the eyes and ears of the American reading and radio public. The country went on record as endorsing the New Deal. Even the Republican Party which opposed me did not repudiate the New Deal in its entirety. It criticized some of its methods, many of its projects, but it did not say away with the New Deal and back to the Old Deal. The country therefore has manifested itself to be New Dealish. Therefore, there is no reason for any party of opposition to exist. Therefore only one political party will be tolerated in America, namely, the party of the New Deal. The New Deal Party, with such a clear mandate of authority from the people, will

Answers Communist With Communism: Explodes Belief Reds Love Poor, Hate War

Noted Catholic Priest Uses Soviet Sources to Answer Challenge of Daily Worker, Official Communistic Organ in the United States — Answers to Other Queries to Follow

By Msgr. Fulton J. Sheen, Ph.D., S.T.D., LL.D.

Mr. Louis F. Budenz, Editor "The Daily Worker":

Under date of December 25, 1936, the Daily Worker carried a three-column article under your name entitled "Communists hold out hand of fellowship to all enemies of war and oppression," in which a series of questions were proposed to me.

I assume that the only reason the questions were asked, was that they might be answered, and I trust you will do me the courtesy of filling an equal space with the reply.

Question 1. "How can Monsignor Sheen speak against those people, the Communists, who are in the forefront ever of the battle for the downtrodden, for those starved through lack of relief, for those who are seeking to keep a home together, for those who wish so deeply to be saved from the ravages of war?"

Communism and the Poor

I can speak against the Communists because I can make a distinction between the Communists' interest in the poor, and their method of helping them. My opposition to Communism is not because it claims to be the friend of the downtrodden, but because of the way it treats them when it comes into power.

Communism and love of the poor are not identified, though this question assumes that if you love the poor, you must be a Communist. This is not true.

Our Blessed Lord loved the poor, but His commandment "Thou shalt not steal" is opposed to violent confiscation advocated by the Official Program of the International Communist Party (pp. 34-38). Frederick Ozanam loved the poor and recommended and practiced the charity of Christ ten years before Marx wrote his revolutionary Manifesto, but Ozanam was not a Communist.

Facts Avoided in Questions

It is therefore one thing to protest against unjust conditions of the poor, and quite another to be their real friend. If I raised my voice daily against Jews and Christians for not reading the Old Testament in Hebrew, where there is the music and the rhythm of the original tongue, I might eventually get many to believe that I read the Old Testament in Hebrew. The fact is, I do not.

So, too, the Communists, by constantly talking about the poor, may create the impression in some minds that the Communistic system is best for the poor, but in reality it is not as I shall show.

I might call your attention to the fact that your article did not contain one single

fact, but only exaggerated claims and promises. My answer would be equally empty if I did not appeal to facts, and every fact that I shall mention about Communism in action will be taken from Communistic sources. In other words, Communism will answer the questions of a Communist.

Let us take up the points one by one: (a) Is Communism "in the forefront ever of the battle for the downtrodden" and for those starved through lack of relief? Yes, whenever it seeks to make converts to its cause. No, when it has established itself in power.

If Communism is the friend of the downtrodden, why, because of increased juvenile delinquency occasioned by the break-up of the family, does it bring children of twelve years of age and above, under the Penal Code which includes capital punishment? (Pravda, No. 97 April 8, 1935).

Shooting of Peasants

If Communism is the friend of the downtrodden, why did it publish an order that the starving peasants who, in order to live, stole grain from the fields they once owned and cultivated, "must be shot and all their goods confiscated?" (Izvestia, Aug. 8, 1932).

If Communism is the friend of the downtrodden, why, in order to protect State grain grown on confiscated lands, did it order that "children are to be put on guard even during the night, from the time they are eight years old?" (Moldava Gvardia, Aug. 17, 1935).

If Communism is the friend of the downtrodden, why did the Kremlin issue on November 8, 1932, under the names of Kallinina, Molotov and Enoukidze, the following orders: (a) for an absence of a day, the worker is deprived not only of his work, but also of his card without which he can have neither lodging nor bread, and this punishment applies to all the members of his family. (Izvestia, November 25, 1932).

If Communism is the friend of the downtrodden, why did it order that the directors of work must not be conciliatory to the workers? (Pravda, February 6, 1933, and Za Ind., Dec. 2, 1932, and Jan. 4, 1933).

If Communism is the friend of those starved through lack of relief, why does Russia, in a country which boasts of no middlemen, sell bread to the peasants at a fee sometimes nineteen times more than it paid the peasants for wheat? (Izvestia, September 26, 1935).

If Communism is a friend of those starved through lack of relief, why does it produce only one pair of shoes per year for every 2.5 persons, and shoes whose soles come off after ten to fifteen days? (Izvestia, June 24, 1934).

(Continued on Page 2)

Franco Spurs Famine, Fire In Siege of Madrid

LONDON The nationalists are sure to win in Spain and the Reds are sure to lose, in the opinion of Capt. Francis McCullough, noted war correspondent, given in an uncensored article published in the Universe here.

Reasons for his opinion are: the nationalists are a disciplined army; the Reds are an armed mob, incapable of acting together with that mobility and that harmony which mark trained troops.

McCullough shows that Franco's dilemma is almost unique. Inside Madrid he claims 80 per cent of the people are friends of the nationalist cause. The buildings are all dear to Franco and his supporters. Franco had indicated a zone of refuge for the aged, the women and the children, but nationalist gunmen report that the zone is being used for the training of recruits and for the storage of ammunition, according to McCullough. Even worse, Franco's path is loop-holed for sniping and machine

gunning. Franco must, therefore, destroy every house before him as he advances.

"General Franco is now waiting patiently till the women and children leave. Meantime he is tightening his hold by new tactics which never fail and never leave anything to chance.

"When General Franco the ruthless militarist of communist propaganda he would soon finish Madrid, for he has as his disposal two potent weapons which he had not tried yet. He has fire and famine. In a few hours he could cut off the water supply of the Spanish capital, and thereby produce a water famine which would force a surrender in 48 hours.

The other weapon is "a type of incendiary bomb which lights fires that cannot be extinguished." "Because the Generalissimo hesitates to use that type of bomb," writes McCullough, "well-meaning critics get impatient and ask 'What is Franco doing?'"

Your Carrier WILL CALL SUBSCRIBERS who are served by carrier may expect a visit from the carrier who serves them, sometime at the end of January. He will have an interesting message for you. Please give him a careful hearing! Catholic Courier THE NEWSPAPER of the Rochester Diocese

Trotsky Scores Perfidy Of Soviets In Spain MEXICO CITY In an interview at Coyocacan, Leon Trotsky, himself a Communist but one who differs with the present Soviet regime, takes the Russian government to task for its policy with regard to Spain. Definitely asserting that Soviet Russia has sided in Spain, he charges that such action has been through selfish motives and without regard for the situation of the Spanish proletariat. Trotsky arrived in the vicinity of Mexico City, leaving the train before it entered the capital to go to the home of Diego Rivera, the radical artist, at Coyocacan, P. D. In an interview Trotsky first thanked the Mexican Press for its courtesies. He added: "I censure the Russian government for its perfidious work in Spain in preventing the Spanish proletariat from getting possession of the government. I say the Soviet government has sided the Spaniards upon with favor."

Rochester Unit Swings Into Action

Grand Knight Rossback Pledges Support To National Drive

By Thomas M. O'Connell

Launching of an aggressive international campaign against Communism in Rochester, N. Y., was announced today by the Grand Knight of the Knights of Columbus, the most prominent Catholic fraternal organization in the city.

With the Rev. William J. O'Malley, pastor of St. Ann's Church, O'Connell, Grand Knight of the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, pledged the support of the Knights of Columbus to the national drive against Communism.

Accused of being the "most dangerous enemy of the American people," Communism is being combated by the Knights of Columbus in a national campaign which will include the distribution of leaflets, the holding of public meetings and the raising of funds for the purpose of supporting the anti-Communist drive.

At a meeting held at the Rochester Club, O'Connell, Grand Knight, announced that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.

He said that the Rochester Council, No. 10,000, will be active in the national drive against Communism.