

Catholic Courier

VOL. VIII JULY 2, 1936 No. 27

Newspaper of the Diocese of Rochester
With the Approval of the
Most Reverend Archbishop Edward Mooney,
Bishop of Rochester.

MEMBER CATHOLIC PRESS ASSOCIATION
SUBSCRIBED TO N.C.W.C. NEWS SERVICE

REV. LEO C. MOONEY . . . Managing Editor
HOWARD W. KIRKPERT . . . Manager
THOMAS H. O'CONNOR . . . News Editor

MEMBER OF CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Subscription, \$2.00 per year. In advance postpaid. Single copies, five cents. Foreign, \$3.00 per year. In many instances subscribers prefer not to have their subscriptions interrupted, etc., than fall in arrears. Please let us know if you prefer continuance is ordered either by letter or personal call.

National Advertising Representative
Callahan's List of Catholic Newspapers
(George J. Callahan)
51 Chambers Street, New York City

Entered as Second-class matter in the Postoffice at Rochester, New York, as registered under the Act of Congress of March 3, 1879.

Published by
CATHOLIC COURIER AND JOURNAL, Inc.
50 Chestnut St., Room 1136, Rochester, N. Y.
Courier Established 1829—Journal Established 1888

I earnestly beseech for the CATHOLIC COURIER the following: I beseech that it may carry into its pages home in the house the salutary influence of the Catholic Press. [Pope Pius XI could say for the whole church] "anything that you do for the Good Press, I will consider as having been done for me personally." I feel that for the diocese I can and should say, "anything that you do for the Catholic Courier, I will consider as having been done for me personally."

T. EDWARD MOONEY,
Archbishop, Bishop of Rochester.

Editorials

VACATION SCHOOLS

In the minds of many men and women, a vacation school means a place where busy mothers send their children simply to have someone take care of them for a few hours every day.

This idea may have some truth in it; but it certainly does not adequately describe the religious vacation schools conducted in fourteen of our city parishes and in about thirty rural districts.

These vacation schools are planned primarily for Catholic children attending public schools. Their program aims at giving the pupils a complete course in Christian doctrine as possible during the four weeks the summer schools are open. The children are grouped according to the grades they have completed, and each group studies some section of the Baltimore catechism.

Whatever it is possible, the pupils attend Mass daily. Formal classes in catechism are followed by practical applications in conduct, Lessons in Liturgy, religious picture study, and projects are also on the program. Plenty of time is allowed for supervised recreation and singing. This varied curriculum does not tire the children but keeps them interested. All the work is correlated; the catechism lesson leads into the liturgy, the liturgy into the project books.

Sisters of the various local communities teach in the vacation schools. Volunteer lay helpers—collegians, seminarians, socialists and high school students—aid the nuns.

Although the Catholic children who attend public schools are given some religious instruction once a week during the regular school year, the vacation school is necessary as a complement to the training. It supplies the one thing that the children miss all year: a real Catholic atmosphere. The presence of the Sisters is an influence not to be disregarded. Boys and girls who at the beginning of the summer session are shy of the nuns become attached to them; they don't like to see them go away at the close of the vacation school.

The course followed in these schools is one of comprehensive religious education. The children are brought into close contact with the Church; they are taught to live their Faith. The religious vacation school prepares its pupils for a practical Catholic life.

CATHOLICS AND LIBERTY

The Declaration of Independence, adopted in Congress July 4, 1776, is the charter of American liberty; its first fruit, after the triumph of the Revolution, is the Constitution of the United States, which became effective March 4, 1789.

Catholics have more than one connection with the Declaration of Independence. The man who risked the most in signing it was a Catholic, Charles Carroll of Carrollton, the richest man in America on the eve of the Revolution, pledged his life and fortune to the cause of American liberty. His wealth was estimated at \$2,000,000—and in 1776, two million meant more than it does in 1936. Carroll was willing to give it all to the Cause.

Why did Carroll do this? In a letter to Washington's adopted son, George Park Custis, he revealed his twofold motive:

"When I signed the Declaration of Independence from England, I had in view only our independence from England, but the salvation of all sects professing the Christian religion and communicating to them all

them, and pray that the civil and religious liberties that they have secured to my country may be perpetuated to the remotest posterity and extended to the whole family of men." (Aug. 2, 1826.)

But there is a deeper, more vital Catholic connection with the Declaration of Independence than this individual instance. It concerns the principles of liberty upon which our independence was justified.

Thomas Jefferson, to whom the congressional committee entrusted the composition of the Declaration of Independence, appealed to the laws of nature and nature's God for the right of the American people to separate and equal station among the powers of the earth.

Where did Jefferson get his principles? Possibly, of course, they were products of his individual thought; but it is significant that he possessed a book (Sir Robert Filmer's "Patriarcha") which contains an excellent summary of Cardinal Bellarmine's ideas on the subject of man's natural rights. Jefferson's copy of this work is now in the Library of Congress.

Filmer quotes these words of the Jesuit Cardinal:

"It depends upon the Consent of the multitude to ordain themselves a King Counsel or other Magistrates; and if there be lawful cause, the multitude may change the King-dom into an Aristocracy or Democracy."

Jefferson wrote in the same vein:

"Governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed; whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends (life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness) it is the right of the people to alter or abolish it, and to institute a new government."

These fundamental truths which Jefferson held to be self evident are the same in principle as those defended by Bellarmine.

Furthermore, Sir Robert Filmer acknowledged in the words of the Cardinal is "comprised the strength of all that I have read or heard produced for the natural liberty of the subject."

Jefferson, therefore, had few sources from which he could draw his principles. He actually possessed a summary of Bellarmine's ideas. We cannot say that he borrowed from the Cardinal; but the fact remains that his Declaration of Independence is a close parallel to the Catholic Doctor's principles. At any rate, the fundamental rights upon which the Revolution and the resultant American liberty were based had their best support in the writings of Cardinal Bellarmine.

THE PEOPLE'S FRONT

Both the Catholic and the secular press have remarked on the Pope's recent repeated warnings against the menace of Bolshevism.

Because we are so bound up in our own affairs, and so far from the European scene, we are prone to disregard what seems so serious minded Europeans as an almost inevitable evil: the dominance of Bolshevism.

A well-known, much traveled Swiss prelate recently wrote to a friend in the United States: "We should no longer be optimists, but realists only! Since the French have excluded the deplorable pact with Russia, and since Soviet Russia has been admitted to the League of Nations, it is virtually incredible that Bolshevism in Europe can be restrained."

The Communists are well aware of the advantage they have gained in the June issue of the "Labour Monthly," a thoroughly-going Communist London review, a writer gloats over the success of what is called "The People's Front."

"Within one year and a half of the initial breaking of this conception in any country," he declares, "The People's Front is dominating the political situation in a series of countries, both inside and outside Europe."

He points to France, Spain, a number of South American countries, and to China as proof of the fact that the People's Front has registered a series of successes. "These successes," he says, "are already exercising a far-reaching effect on the international political situation... and they are likely to have still further effect as the situation develops."

Bringing the problem closer to home, the Communist commentator admits that in England and the United States, the principles of Bolshevism have not yet found their best form of application.

"The People's Front," he says, "is one thing in the capitalist-democratic countries, another in the Fascist countries... in the United States the mass of the workers still follow the two old capitalistic parties. There is only a tiny Socialist Party."

The Declaration of Independence, adopted in Congress July 4, 1776, is the charter of American liberty; its first fruit, after the triumph of the Revolution, is the Constitution of the United States, which became effective March 4, 1789.

Catholics have more than one connection with the Declaration of Independence. The man who risked the most in signing it was a Catholic, Charles Carroll of Carrollton, the richest man in America on the eve of the Revolution, pledged his life and fortune to the cause of American liberty. His wealth was estimated at \$2,000,000—and in 1776, two million meant more than it does in 1936. Carroll was willing to give it all to the Cause.

Why did Carroll do this? In a letter to Washington's adopted son, George Park Custis, he revealed his twofold motive:

"When I signed the Declaration of Independence, Carroll, the last survivor of the签署者, addressed his countrymen: 'We have now established to the present moment the principles of that freedom and independence which we have earned to the extent that could bequeath to

CATHOLIC COURIER

Current Comment

BUSINESS MEN DOING RIGHT THING

By The Rev. Raymond McGowan
(Assistant Director, N. C. W. C. Dept.)

The other day I read an article by a Catholic protesting an obstinate employer or seller of goods or services who is not making the proper exceptions for business men who try to do right. There are no such spotters or writers that I know of. The exceptions are noted often enough and probably oftener than the number merits.

The point is that few Catholics, employers or sellers of goods or services, are trying to do right. None of us gets more condemnation than we deserve. And since employers, sellers of goods and lenders sit in the seats of the mighty and rule by and large, what pitiful efforts are being made to correct things they deserve more condemnation.

How tragically few of us are trying to do the things:

1. Democratically organize the industry and profession. 2. Use the government, federal, state and city in a position to set.

3. Use these two methods to obtain full output, distribute the income so the family living wage will be universal and the standard of living generally high, and along with public ownership of certain distributing industries distribute ownership widely.

Hardly anyone opposes the whole program. Few try at all. Pitifully few work for it. Truly Catholic Americans favor it. Let us not blame ourselves for not condemning our own. Let us instead blame ourselves for not condemning ourselves enough. Through our fault through our fault through our own creation fault through our own creation fault.

So the Depression has begun to life a little, some who are thoughtful and frank have crawled into their shells. They don't want to admit that that things are far away from what Catholic social teaching demands. They have lost the spirit of 1933.

It did not take the Depression to prove our scheme of things wrong. It was wrong before the '29 crash. It was wrong ten years earlier when the Bishop's Program of Social Reconstruction was issued. It was wrong twenty and thirty and fifty and a hundred years ago. It was still wrong. And it is becoming increasingly big and worse small. It will break the country unless it is changed.

The reason why it is wrong is that it is built to suit greed and pride and not to suit our needs and those of the general good. We have to change our minds and morals and also our institutions and change them thoroughly. Until we are convinced of this we will not be able to make of our economy a real instrument of salvation.

The Communists are well aware of the advantage they have gained in the June issue of the "Labour Monthly," a thoroughly-going Communist London review, a writer gloats over the success of what is called "The People's Front."

"Within one year and a half of the initial

breaking of this conception in any country," he declares, "The People's Front is dominating the political situation in a series of countries, both inside and outside Europe."

He points to France, Spain, a number of South American countries, and to China as proof of the fact that the People's Front has registered a series of successes. "These successes," he says, "are already exercising a far-reaching effect on the international political situation... and they are likely to have still further effect as the situation develops."

Bringing the problem closer to home, the Communist commentator admits that in England and the United States, the principles of Bolshevism have not yet found their best form of application.

"The People's Front," he says, "is one thing in the capitalist-democratic countries, another in the Fascist countries... in the United States the mass of the workers still follow the two old capitalistic parties. There is only a tiny Socialist Party."

The Declaration of Independence, adopted in Congress July 4, 1776, is the charter of American liberty; its first fruit, after the triumph of the Revolution, is the Constitution of the United States, which became effective March 4, 1789.

Catholics have more than one connection with the Declaration of Independence. The man who risked the most in signing it was a Catholic, Charles Carroll of Carrollton, the richest man in America on the eve of the Revolution, pledged his life and fortune to the cause of American liberty. His wealth was estimated at \$2,000,000—and in 1776, two million meant more than it does in 1936. Carroll was willing to give it all to the Cause.

Why did Carroll do this? In a letter to Washington's adopted son, George Park Custis, he revealed his twofold motive:

"When I signed the Declaration of Independence, Carroll, the last survivor of the签署者, addressed his countrymen: 'We have now established to the present moment the principles of that freedom and independence which we have earned to the extent that could bequeath to

STRANGE BUT TRUE

Catholic Facts But Little Known

651 1936, by N. C. W. C. News Service

By M. J. MURRAY



AN ANNEX OF THE CATHEDRAL AT STRATFORD, LONDON, ENGLAND, IS IN REALITY AN ELECTRIC POWER STATION, SO BUILT TO HARMONIZE WITH THE GOTHIC STRUCTURE OF ITS IMMEDIATE NEIGHBOR.

ANSWER NEXT WEEK

OD

ANSWER NEXT WEEK

OD