

Official Newspaper of the Diocese of Rochester
With the Approval of the
Most Reverend Archbishop Edward Mooney,
Bishop of Rochester.

MEMBER CATHOLIC PRESS ASSOCIATION
SUBSCRIBERS TO N.C.W.C. NEWS SERVICE.

REV. LEO C. MOONEY.....Managing Editor
REV. JOHN E. MASSETH.....Editor
HOWARD W. KELLEPPEN.....Manager
THOMAS H. O'CONNOR.....News Editor

MEMBER OF CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

ADVERTISING RATES FOR YEAR IN ADVANCE, \$1000. Paid monthly. Five cents. Foreign, \$2.00 per year. In many instances subscribers prefer not to have their advertising rates published in the paper. It is therefore assumed that continuance is understood unless otherwise indicated by letter or personal note.

National Advertising Representative
Children's List of Catholic Newspapers
(George J. Callahan)
31 Chambers Street, New York City

Entered as second-class matter in the Post Office at Rochester, New York, as required under the Act of Congress of March 3, 1875.

Published by
CATHOLIC COURIER and JOURNAL Inc.
10 Chestnut St., St. Paul 142, Rochester, N.Y.
Editor, Bishop Edward Mooney. Journal Established 1898.

I earnestly beseech you for the CATHOLIC COURIER,
the united and generous support of our priests and
people, and I fervently hope that it may carry into
every home in the diocese the salutary influence of
the spirit of Christ. "The Poor Are My Friends,"
and "the Poor I Will Consider as Having Been
done for Me." I feel that for this disease I can
not say "Anything that you do for the
Catholic Courier, I will consider as having been
done for me personally."

Edward Mooney,
Archbishop-Bishop of Rochester

Editorials

NO SUBSTITUTES

In the course of his New Year's Message, His Eminence Cardinal O'Connell of Boston said—

"Philanthropy is no substitute for equal justice nor does it assuage resentment that smoulders in the hearts of the aggrieved. When the suppressed combustion reaches the flaming point, revolutionaries take charge and dictators arise."

Cardinal O'Connell could have gone further and declared that neither is charity a substitute for justice.

Abbe Leon Garriguet, in his "The Gospel and Our Social Problems," refers to a criticism of the Gospel frequently made—

"We often hear the Gospel criticized for not saying enough about justice, and for not exhibiting individual rights with due prominence. The idea most lacking in Christianity," writes Bougle, "is that of right, with all the latent combativeness it implies. It is idle to suppose that for this idea we may substitute the outpourings of charity. A hundred bushels of charity do not make a grain of the sense of justice."

"Of course justice and charity are perfectly distinct," continues the Abbe, "and both are great virtues. One certainly ought never to be sacrificed to the other; and as a consequence, before taking up charity, it is incumbent upon us first to discharge our obligations of justice. Moreover, it will scarcely be called in question that, in point of fact, there are Christians who talk too much about charity, and too little about justice, justice apparently not being in such good favor with them. But we cannot admit that the Gospel is to be held responsible for the so-called Christians who may have partially lost sight of the notion of justice."

In QUADRAGESIMO ANNO, Pius XI emphasized the need of justice. Speaking of conditions induced by "dire poverty,"

"This state of things was quite satisfactory to the wealthy, who looked upon it as the consequence of inevitable and natural economic laws, and who, therefore, were content to abandon to charity alone the full care of relieving the unfortunate, as though it were the task of charity to make amends for the open violation of justice, a violation not merely tolerated, but sanctioned at times by legislators."

You have observed in this statement of the Pontiff reference to those who regard poverty "as the consequence of inevitable and natural economic laws?" On the other hand, there are those, as Pius notes in his Encyclical, who cannot bring themselves to believe "that so radical and unjust a distinction in the distribution of temporal goods was quite in harmony with the design of an all-wise Creator."

One of the strangest things we have ever come across is the attempt to find justification for poverty in the life and sayings of Jesus Christ. Of this distortion Abbe Garriguet says—

"Our Lord was born poor; He lived as a poor man among the poor; He manifested towards them a special love, showered on them marks of respect and consideration, proclaimed them blessed, and seems to have had the best place in His heart for them. Some have argued that, in His eyes, poverty is a thing to be desired, an advancement condition, an essential element in salvation society, and an indispensable condition of salvation. But it cannot be denied with any show of truth that the Church regards pauperism as something naturally inevitable, of which one must take account and which it would be childish

to say—'The poor you have seen in you.' Was He in these cases natural and uncharitable? I have thought and said so for this reason."

"A life of extravagance, however, might have been sold to the poor." All that he said was—'Don't

worry. I won't be with you long. After that you will have many opportunities to minister to the poor. You will always find them around.'

Some have stretched this little colloquy of Our Lord with His disciples into an address to the ages.

Taking up Christ's meaning here, Abbe Garriguet says—

"It is this last sentence, so simple, so clear and so natural, whose meaning has been strangely distorted. Some have found it a compensation of poverty, and a setting up of worthiness by divine authority as a sort of institution. Starting from this common ground, one party has accused Christianity of lacking the social sense while the other has put itself at ease with regard to the obligations of charity. Their view is this: As there must always be poor people, it is idle to take any extraordinary pains to rescue one a fellow-man from their unfortunate state of destitution; for poverty is part of the plan of Providence.

"Such an interpretation of our Lord's words is not only wholly erroneous, but it stands in complete opposition to His mentality and teaching, and is scarcely intelligible except on the hypothesis of carelessness, ignorance or want of candor. A rapid glance at the context suffices to assure us that this cannot be the meaning. An interpretation like this is wholly foreign to the obvious sense of the text."

You will recall that Our Lord, on the Mount did not bless the poor generally, but the poor in spirit. A man may be rich yet be poor in spirit. On the other hand, a man may be poor and yet be avaricious, treacherous of gain.

Detachment, then, is the thing, for detachment, not poverty, is a virtue.

JOHN E. MASSETH

REUNION

The appeal for reunion with the Roman Catholic Church, made by the American Committee of the Church Unity Octave Council, an Episcopalian group, and appearing in the press of December 26, has brought protests from several Protestant quarters.

It was foreseen, of course, that the charge that "Protestantism is bankrupt ethically, culturally, morally and religiously," that "it is disintegrating rapidly," would be strongly challenged.

What defines all challenge is the statement, "The front of the anti-Christian attack falls on Rome." This is clear to anyone who is conversant with world affairs. And how can the reason be gainsaid—"for the enemy knows well where the centre of Christianity really lies."

Hearin says AMERICA of January 4, is found the fifth mark of the true Church." Some of those taking exception to the statements of the Unity Octave Council, strengthen rather than weaken its charges.

"Protestantism is more than a protest. It stands for positive principles, freedom in religion and freedom of interpretation," says Reverend Dr. Charles E. Tuke of the Philadelphia Episcopal Diocese.

This is old stuff. Yet it still is its appeal to the unthinking. Freedom is always a word of magic. But the extent to which Dr. Tuke and his conferees have carried freedom into religion is what has accounted for the "bankruptcy," the "disintegration" of Protestantism.

In his own day, Martin Luther saw the drift to disintegration. The logical results of "freedom in religion, freedom of interpretation," were even then showing themselves. In 1525 Luther said—

"There are as many sects and beliefs as there are heads. This fellow will have nothing to do with Baptism; another denies the Sacrament; a third believes that there is another world between this and the Last Day. Some teach that Christ is not God; some say this, some that. There is no rustic so rude but that, if he dreams of fancying anything, it must be the whisper of the Holy Ghost, and he himself a prophet."

Freedom? Yes, as promised by Jesus Christ—"And you shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free" (John VIII, 32). Free from what? From such doubts and divisions in regard to fundamental truths of Christianity as Protestantism most unhealthily has begotten.

Always the struggles of those seeking the light should be met with our sympathy and especially our prayers. Special incentive and opportunity for such prayers will be given us during the Church Unity Octave, January 18-23.

One of the difficulties confronting High Church Episcopalians as they consider becoming Catholics has been met by the convert, Father Selder Delany, recently deceased, in his book, "Why Rome—"

"I hear many of my friends saying: 'How can you deny the spiritual experiences of all these years?'—Have you never received Christ at the altars of the Church? Have you never had your sins forgiven, or forgiven to others in the sacrament of penance? Has your whole ministry been without spiritual fruit?" In answer I would say that I believe all my spiritual experiences have been real, and that the work for souls that God has performed through my ministry has been real."

In his proof, Father Delany quoted from Constantine, "Caesar and Rome," a book by Father Woodlock, S.J.—

"They need not deny a single one of these experiences... Catholic theology and devotion recognize that what is called a 'spiritual communion' without the Real Presence of Christ under the sacramental species, may be the means of receiving, on occasions, greater graces than are received when Christ is bodily present at a sacramental communion... and men who believe sincerely in the priesthood of the English Church ministers, may receive an outpouring of grace in their ministrations which to them is subjectively indistinguishable from strictly sacramental graces."

J. E. M.

Current Comment

RELIGIOUS LIFE

DEPUTE DON BETHLEHEM

STAR OF BETHLEHEM

A reply by Dr. W. D. MacMillan to a reporter that astronomy agrees with the Star of Bethlehem never existed has drawn editorial comment from two Ottawa dailies, the Ottawa Journal and Le Droit.

The Ottawa Journal, recalling

the days of the famous

Star of Bethlehem, the

Journal says:

"Not believe in the Star of

Bethlehem? You might as well

not believe in Santa Claus. There

are convictions for which we do

not need the authority of science

and mathematics. Our most pro-

mised beliefs are placed high be-

cause the operations of sceptics

are dubious."

See also WISE MEN

STAR OF BETHLEHEM

Perhaps the Chicago professor couldn't have generalized better than Dr. W. D. MacMillan. It may have placed in the elevated records of celestial bodies—it may not accord with the theories of science. But it is a fact that the Star of Bethlehem did not originate until the 6th century. Previously the ecclesiastical years were reckoned after the era of Diocletian also called the "Era of Martyrs."

"Not believe in the Star?" Shall we take the word of a hard rodded who isn't big enough to go beyond the facts into the realm of science?—"If you like"—he adds.

See also WISE MEN

STAR OF BETHLEHEM

It is a fact that the University of

Chicago, the W.M. Keck Observatory,

the W.M