

REPUBLICAN.

Empire State Ticket Elected by 80,000 Majority.

OHIO ELECTS BUSHNELL.

The Usual Republican Majority Poll'd in Pennsylvania.

Result of the Ballot Battle Throughout the Country—Republican Victory General—Election Quiet in All Sections.

New York State Legislature Republican—Griggs Elected Governor of New Jersey—Greenhalge Re-elected in Massachusetts—Tammann Captures New York by 40,000—Kentucky Probably Republican—Also Maryland—The Buckeye State Gives Bushnell at Least 80,000—Iowa Gives the Usual Republican Majority—Silverites Show Large Gains in Nebraska—Republicans Gain in Virginia—Returns from All Quarters Show Republican Gains Over the Election of Two Years Ago.

NEW YORK, Nov. 6.—The Republicans have carried New York state "above the Harlem" for the head of the state ticket by a plurality clearly estimated at 150,000. The Democrats have carried New York city by a plurality of fully 41,000 for the head of the state ticket, and have carried Brooklyn by 6,000, giving the state to the


SECRETARY OF STATE PALMER.
Republicans by probably 64,000, showing a net Republican gain over the election of two years ago of nearly 30,000.

ALBANY, Nov. 6.—New York state has not added another landslide record to the famous ones of the past two years. The party which came into power by one of these landslides is not, by the vote of yesterday, diminished except as related to a few municipalities. The state officers elected two years ago by the Republican party have been re-elected and the two branches of the legislature remain as before under the direction of the Republicans.

The election throughout the state was orderly and rapidly conducted, and the results were so quickly compiled that all the talk about the difficulty of handling the blanket ballot went for naught.

So far as the success or failure of the blanket ballot was concerned the advice from all over the state show that there was little or no trouble, and that the ballot was so much of a success that the vote was polled quicker than ever before.

The earlier returns showed a rather significant fact, so far as New York city was concerned, and that was that while there was a large increase in the Democratic vote of the past two years, there was not the same percentage of loss to be attributed to the Republican ticket.

This was accounted for on the ground that the Democratic vote of 1888 had been greatly reduced by people of party remaining away from the polls.

The most interesting part of the summary made of the early returns was a comparison with the vote of a normal year, that is, a year when the normal Democratic and Republican votes was cast, and when so-called landslides did not figure in the returns. These comparisons showed that after the defeat of the Democratic state ticket in 1888 the percentage of loss in the year succeeding for the Democracy was about 6% per cent.

The early returns demonstrated that while the Republicans were still on the winning side of the fence so far as the state ticket is concerned, that this percentage loss had not only been stopped, but that there was a decided gain for the Democracy in general, and thus vice versa, the Republicans, taking last year or 1888


ADDISON A. COLVIN.
would have to figure the balance upon their side.

These returns were received by those who have examined were of such a character as to indicate that the Republican ticket had been elected, that the assembly would be Republican and that the state would be retained in control of the state government and that the

as towards midnight the returns from the counties north of New York city came in all predictions of Republican loss, and Democratic gains were noted.

Palmer, for secretary of state, whose plurality it was alleged would be greatly out in all northern counties, showed a gain over his vote of 1888. In almost every county he had gained, except possibly in New York, Kings and Erie, while his opponent, King, had lost.

The only way in which there could be said to be a Democratic gain towards normally in the vote was induced by the gain from New York city, where Tammann had made a enough sort of record by obtaining a plurality in New York.

In counties where the Democrats had hoped to gain, except Albany, the gain was not apparent, and the whole support of the Democratic state ticket seemed to fail upon New York and Kings counties. In Kings county the surprise was great. It was the losing county of the Democratic candidate for secretary of state, and yet it had not polled the vote that it was supposed it would. Indeed, it fell far behind. Even Albany county did not come up to the record it was supposed to make. It found a favorite in its nominee for secretary of state and kept its plurality down to the barest kind of plurality for the Democrats. The result, taken at its best, was a well earned victory for the Republicans, so far as state issues went.

THE LEGISLATURE.

The Senate Will Be Overwhelmingly Republican.

NEW YORK, Nov. 6.—The following is a list of senators elected in this state, based upon returns received at a late hour:

First district—Nathaniel Marsh, D.
Second district—J. L. Childs, R.
King's county—Estimated three Republican and four Democratic.

New York county—Estimated five Republican and seven Democratic.

Twenty-second district—J. L. Burns, R.
Twenty-third district—Clarendon Levy, R.
Twenty-fourth district—W. C. Day, R.
Twenty-fifth district—Charles Day, R.
Twenty-sixth district—James Ballantine, R.
Twenty-seventh district—Krum, R.
Twenty-eighth district—E. H. Brackett, R.
Twenty-ninth district—Myer Neubauer, D.
Thirtieth district—LeGrand C. Tibbets, R.
Thirty-first district—George Chapman, R.
Thirty-second district—George Malby, R.
Thirty-third district—W. L. Brown, R.
Thirty-fourth district—Henry C. Coggeshall, I.
Thirty-fifth district—Joseph Mullin, R.
Thirty-sixth district—Horace White, R.
Thirty-seventh district—N. N. Stranahan, R.
Thirty-eighth district—W. E. Johnson, R.
Thirty-ninth district—Benjamin Wilcox, R.
Fortieth district—E. Stewart, R.
Forty-first district—John S. Shepard, R.
Forty-second district—John Raines, R.
Forty-third district—C. R. Parsons, R.
Forty-fourth district—H. H. Hartman, R.
Forty-fifth district—T. E. Ellsworth, R.
Forty-sixth district—D. H. Humphrey, R.
Forty-seventh district—O. Lamy, R.
Forty-eighth district—Simon Siebert, R.
Forty-ninth district—G. A. Davis, R.

How the Assembly Stands.

NEW YORK, Nov. 6.—The following is a list of assemblymen elected in this state, based upon returns up to a late hour:

Albany county—Robert Shore, R.; James Greenhoft, R.; G. T. Kelley, D.

A. J. Abbott, R.

Albion—F. A. Robbins, R.
Broome—I. H. Brownell, R.; O. F. Turner, R.

Cattaraugus—O. A. Masterson, R.; C. H. Miller, R.

Cayuga—W. C. Sheldon, R.; E. B. Rounds, R.

Chautauqua—J. Babcock, R.; S. F. Dixon, R.

Chemung—J. B. Stanchfield, R.

Chenango—J. P. Aldis, R.

Clinton—W. T. Huntington, R.

Columbia—H. T. McClelland, D.

Corliss—E. C. Saunders, R.

Delaware—Dolce H. Mackey, R.

Dutchess—J. A. Hanna, R.; A. B. Gray, R.

Erie—C. Congdon, D.; H. W. Hill, R.

F. Russ, D.; P. Spangler, R.; Charles Braun, R.; Chris Smith, R.; H. L. Steiner, R.

F. H. Bladell, R.

Essen—A. Wade, R.

Franklin—T. A. Sears, R.

Fulton and Hamilton—H. D. Brown, Genesee—A. D. Saunders, R.

Greene—J. K. Hoteling, D.

Herkimer—O. H. Springer, R.

Jefferson—W. Zimmerman, R.; E. J. Clark, R.

Kings—Thomas H. Wagstaff, R.; John McKean, D.; Thomas H. Cullen, D.

George W. Wilson, R.; A. C. De Grau, R.

A. A. Audeit, R.; Fred A. Newman, R.

James Lenior, Jr., D.; John J. Rose, D.

W. E. Townsend, D.; James A. Guider, D.; Charles Ebbets, D.; Orson F. Fowler, R.; H. N. Zeurn, D.; Robert J. Pudd, D.; Ed C. Brennan, R.; Henry Marshall, R.; M. P. Higgins, D.; Fred Schmidt, D.; Fred G. Hughes, R.; George U. Norrell, D.

Lewis—John S. Koster, R.

Livington—O. Kiley, R.

Madison—L. B. Korn, R.

Monroe—Charles J. Smith, R.; J. M. E. O'Grady, R.; W. W. Armstrong, R.

T. H. Eddy, R.

Montgomery—E. L. Schmidt, R.

Niagara—H. H. Warner, R.; T. E. Drury, R.

Oswego—L. F. Goodell, R.; Lewis Bedell, R.

Orleans—F. L. Downes, R.

Onondaga—L. P. Taylor, R.; T. M. Cosilio, R.

Oneida—C. B. Gorham, R.

Putnam—Hamilton Fish, R.

Queens—J. Stahl, D.; Fred Storm, R.

M. Cromwell, R.

Rensselaer—E. McGrath, R.; F. F. Norton, D.; George Anderson, R.

Richmond—G. A. Barth, D.

Rockland—O. H. Cutler, R.

Saint Lawrence—J. C. Mills, R.; M. V. E. Ives, R.

Schenectady—C. A. McNaughton, R.

Schenectady—Thomas Winnie, R.

Schoharie—G. S. Enders, D.

Schuyler—O. H. Budd, R.

Schenectady—W. H. Kline, D.

Schenectady—J. F. Harrison, R.; J. Clark, R.

Suffolk—E. W. Post, R.; C. S. Busk, R.

Susanville—U. M. Knobell, R.

Ulster—W. H. Moore, R.

Tompkins—F. E. Baker, R.
Ulster—W. E. Van Keuren, R.; James Lovemore, R.

Warren—T. J. Eldridge, R.

Washington—W. Hobdy, R.

Wayne—G. S. Horton, R.

Westchester—C. Stuart, R.; J. F. Sheehan, D.; L. W. Rusted, R.

Wyoming—N. N. Cole, R.

Yates—Everett Brown, R.

BROOKLYN.

BROOKLYN. Nov. 6.—The elections in Kings county passed off very quietly. There were but few arrests, and no election in years has been characterized by fewer clashes between the contending parties. The new ballot law has worked well, the votes were quickly polled and there appears to be very general satisfaction with the new system of voting. Of course many voters made mistakes in placing the crosses where they went outside of their party column, but on the whole, the vote as cast represents fairly well the intent of the voter.

PALMER CARRIES WAYNE COUNTY.

LYONS, Nov. 6.—W. Kreitzer, chairman of the Republican county committee, estimates Palmer's plurality in Wayne county at 3,000. Itaines, for senator, 1,000 plurality.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.

WATSON. Nov. 6.—The returns from Watson's district are not yet in.